High Luminosity ATLAS vs. CMOS Sensors

Where we currently are and where we’d like to be
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I usually do talks on things where I generated all the imagery myself (ATLAS Pixels/IBL) - CMOS as a topic was a particular wish and I’ll give some insights, but much of it will rely on you asking questions (and quite possibly people in the audience knowing better answering them…)

Many images “stolen” from others, all of it is collaborative effort…
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ATLAS

- Really, I am sorry, but this slide has to happen
- Large, general purpose detector:
  - Tracker, Calorimeter, Muon Spectrometer
  - ~4pi coverage
  - 2 separate magnetic fields, solenoid and toroidal
- THE experiment for me!
  - 13 years and counting
ATLAS Tracker

- 3 individual Systems:
  - TRT: Gaseous detector with transition radiation
  - Stried semiconductor
  - Pixelised semiconductor
- Spatial resolution:
  - D0 ~ 10um
  - Z0 ~ 50um
- Certain Features:
  - Unmaintainable (Well...)
  - Power consumption (Mostly in delivery)
  - Cooling (For now based on C3F8)
The current silicon systems

- Based on hybrid detector assemblies, made from individual sensor pieces bonded to multiple front-end asics
  - Sensors are usually oxygenated silicon made in large feature sizes
  - Front-end asics are made from small feature size CMOS technologies
- Single modules get mounted to cooling/mechanical support structure, either by gluing or clamping, forming detector layers
- Particles passing through the sensors create a current measured by front-ends
  - From locating many of those, we reconstruct tracks
Tracks reconstructed from individual hits from a 900 GeV collision
Tracking with pile-up

In particular in low granularity regions, tracking gets a lot harder with pile-up
Next Upgrade turns a mixed detector into an all-silicon detector:

- Particle ID might suffer
- Resolution should gain
  - Less sensitive to pileup
- Less gas leaks
  - Certainly worth it

$O(200m^2)$ of Silicon to be put into shape, major cost drivers being:

- Pixels: sensors and assembly into hybrid modules
- Strips: sensors
The Baseline

Like before - all Baseline ITK Modules are Hybrid assemblies:

- A Sensor is connected to a front-end circuit
  - Either through wire-bonding (strips) or bump-bonding (pixels)
- Technology nodes differ
- Added capacitance in interconnect
- Added cost & production time
System concepts

Major effort has already been spent developing the system concepts of ITk, therefore implying:

- Module geometry
- $O$ (Power consumption)
  - Cooling
- Data transmission

Less true for Pixels!
Intermediate Summary

ITk as a project is quite well advanced:

- Strip TDR is being circulated to LHCC as we speak
- The number of possible Pixel system design choices decreases...
- Services are already being dissected to understand our future powering scheme

What Options does that still leave us with?
CMOS Introduction

The idea behind CMOS in HEP is to join two silicon functionalities:

- Collection of deposited energy from charged particles passing through
- Amplification and Discrimination of that signal

To first order this gives us:

- Tighter integration of the detector structure
- Lower cost: CMOS processes are mass-production, silicon itself is cheap, less steps of integration
CMOS differences

Many people approach CMOS, everyone claims they’re different from the others...

- Funding works that way!
- Two different topologies:
  - Large collecting diode (easy to deplete)
  - Small collecting diode (low noise)
- Two different Bias approaches
  - High voltage to the substrate (Special design rules and processes)
  - Low voltage to the substrate (needs high resistivity)

In ATLAS we end up calling them HV- or HR-CMOS
Another main aspect are technology differences - what do the fabs offer?

- Triple/Quadruple Well Architecture
- Epitaxial silicon
- HV design kits/rules
- Insulation between CMOS process and substrate (SOI)

Foundry list during investigation got a bit excessive, can now break it down to about 4 foundries that will deliver all of the above

- Feature size for all of these is usually between 350nm and 150nm
HV CMOS - Details

Within ATLAS, large collecting terminal structures are labelled as HV-CMOS (as they usually come on standard substrates with high voltage bias)

- 60-120V bias give a depletion zone around the deep N-well surrounding the electronics
- Usually about 20um depletion for 20 Ohm cm substrates

These devices come with two features:

1. Resistivity over irradiation changes -> different depletion depth
2. The signal is picked up from a deep well that surrounds electronics
   a. Large capacitance to the local Pwell
   b. Possible crosstalk with the electronics
HV CMOS - Prototypes (1)

Charge Coupled Pixel Device: CCPD

- Fully active CMOS sensos
  - Sends hit response through capacitive coupling into a frontend circuit
  - Subpixel resolution, local hit position is encoded into the charge transferred
- N (>5) revisions of it now out there
- Mostly submitted through Europractice MPW - minuscule cost, generated in AMS (both 180nm and 350nm nodes)

Advantage: Small analogue pixels can be integrated with dense memory blocks in frontend
HV-CMOS - Prototypes (2)

Equivalent approach in LFoundry:

- Large collecting DNW, implying large depletion area
- Isolation between NW and electronics due to quadruple wells
- Smaller Feature size allows more integration

Complementary Isolation in XFAB:

- Silicon on Insulator allows fully separating the collecting silicon from the electronics
- Small collecting nodes, good depletion
- Not a cheap process, but pretty
HV-CMOS Production

Mu3e experiment at PSI

- Needs minimal material:
  - 50um thinned CMOS sensors, based on AMS 180 HV
- Fully monolithic architecture, all hits read out per bunch crossing
- Awesome detector concept with helium gas cooling, which they’re now trying to market for ATLAS
  - Only needs a factor of about 1000 more area...
HR CMOS Details

ATLAS nomenclature: HR-CMOS usually refers to any implementation based on small collecting terminals

- In our case usually epitaxial silicon, specialised for imaging processes
- Highly resistive, kOhm cm

Potential advantages:

- Isolation of electronics from the collecting diode
- Constant signal size with low noise

Major problem of achieving depletion
HR CMOS - Prototypes

ALPIDE, the ALICE upgrade sensor:

- TowerJAZZ 180nm technology
- HR epitaxial silicon
- Small collecting node
  - Chip relies on diffusion as much as drift
  - Only possible due to low NIEL dose received in ALICE
Now where is ATLAS?

Two major projects within ATLAS:

- Program in CMOS strips: CHESS
- CMOS Pixel Demonstrator program
  - Now evolved into a MAPS program
  - *Not really mine, haven’t looked at things here in a while...*

Both aiming at a plug-in solution - time is too short to require significant modification of mechanical/thermal supports

Given that we need a stable baseline, both projects are funded either through individual funding requests, tapping into the upgrade project or being lucky...
Evaluation program for a strip-like implementation:

- Long(ish) pixels
- Low occupancy, but high peak density (jet cores)
- High resolution

Initial revision with very quick turnaround envisaged (program started mid 2014)

- Many pixelised test structures of different size
- Passive structures allowing more direct tests of the semiconductor behaviour
- Initial active pixel array set
HV-CHESS

Start of CHESS in Summer 2014:

- Initial headstart for HV due to an upfront MPW submission by KIT: HVStripV1
- Based on that, design of HV-CHESS 1 progressed very quickly
  - Submission in August 2014
  - Received in November 2014
- Test results very promising
HV-CHESS - Round 2

Due to successful first submission, HV-CHESS has gone into a second submission

- Changed Amplifier layout:
  - Less sensitive to Ionising dose
  - Faster
  - Lower power

- Multiple substrate resistivities
  - Increased cost
  - Increased initial depletion allows to optimise the detector for operational dose range

- Large chip - allows for a module approach
HR-CHESS

- Submitted a first round of test circuitry in late 2014 - 49 test structures
- Full submission back in early 2016 (!)
- Major problem with charge collection:
  - All nodes are shorted due to lack of p-stop
- Re-submitted a few test structures this month, expected back in May 2017
- ...

Secrets here
If all of this works...

Promising route for HV-CHESS submissions:

- Large sized objects now in hand
  - Module can be prototyped from this, though not 100% efficient
- Firmware prototyping of a digital backend chip in progress
  - Includes design of the HCC interface

Of course, Pixels are easier:

- Timeline longer (later assembly start)
- Modules based on small feature size technologies either way - assembled from reticules now!
Conclusions

Many CMOS implementations coming up in HEP:

- Mu3e
- ALICE
- STAR
- BELLE

CMOS is really getting somewhere, in particular in terms of radiation hardness

- Too late for ATLAS ITk Strips it would seem
- ATLAS ITk Pixels following through with an attempt to a monolithic implementation for the outermost pixel layer
Started collecting but didn’t get very far...