Searches for long-lived, massive particles with the ATLAS detector

Nick Barlow University of Cambridge



Experimental Particle Physics Seminar, Birmingham University, 12th March 2014



Contents

- Motivation for searching for long-lived particles.
- A very quick look at a couple of signal models.
- The ATLAS detector and the 2011+2012 datasets.
- SUSY-based searches:
 - Stable charged sleptons.
 - Stopped gluinos.
 - Disappearing tracks.
 - Displaced vertices in inner tracking detector.
 - Non-pointing photons.
- Other models:
 - Higgs to 2 long-lived pseudoscalars.
 - Multi-charged particles.
 - Magnetic monopoles.

Motivation for searches for long-lived particles (LLPs)

- Several New Physics models could give rise to new, massive particles, with (relatively) long lifetimes.
- Will give a very brief summary of a couple of examples, but there are also (infinitely) many possibilities that no-one has ever thought of!
- We should look for signatures of New Physics any way we can!

The Physics

(An extremely sketchy overview of a few possible examples of) The Physics

Why might we get LLPs?

- Long-lived particles can arise in a model if any of the following conditions are present:
 - Very small coupling in decay chain.
 - Strong virtuality due to decay via heavy particles.
 - Very small mass differences in decay chain (i.e. not much phase space for decay).
 - Pair production of particles with conserved quantum number.
- One or more of these cases are reasonably likely to come up when model-building.

➔ Searches for LLPs are an important part of the LHC physics program!

Supersymmetry

 Supersymmetry (SUSY) solves the Hierarchy Problem (sensible Higgs mass without fine-tuning) by introducing superpartners for SM particles.



Supersymmetry

 Supersymmetry (SUSY) solves the Hierarchy Problem (sensible Higgs mass without fine-tuning) by introducing superpartners for SM particles.



- BUT, no SUSY particles (sparticles) have ever been seen..
- → Supersymmetry is not a perfect symmetry must be broken by some mechanism.

Some SUSY breaking mechanisms

- Gravity-mediated (e.g. mSUGRA).
- Gauge-mediated SUSY breaking (GMSB).
 - SUSY breaking communicated via SM gauge interactions.
 - Gravitino acquires mass (Lightest SupersymmetricParticle (LSP)).
 - Depending on SUSY-breaking scale, NLSP can be long-lived.
- Anomaly-mediated SUSY breaking (AMSB).
 - SUSY breaking is caused by loop effects, gives constrained mass spectrum:
 - Ratios of gaugino masses are approximately:

 M_{bino} : M_{wino} : $M_{gluino} \approx 3 : 1 : 7$

Masses of lightest chargino and lightest neutralino are nearly degenerate.

→ lightest chargino has long lifetime!

- Split SUSY.
 - New bosons are at very high mass scale, while new fermions at TeV-scale.
 Juino has long lifetime!

(will combine with SM quarks and gluons to form "R-hadrons"). 9

- Many SUSY models assume R-Parity conservation, i.e.
 Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) is stable.
 - (Excellent Dark Matter candidate!)
- BUT no reason to assume this *a priori*...
 - If we introduce R-Parity Violating terms into superpotential, LSP can decay to SM particles.

 $\lambda_{ijk}L^{i}L^{j}\overline{E}^{k} + \lambda'_{ijk}L^{i}Q^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \lambda''_{ijk}\overline{U}^{i}\overline{D}^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \epsilon_{i}L_{i}H_{2}$

- Many SUSY models assume R-Parity conservation, i.e.
 Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) is stable.
 - (Excellent Dark Matter candidate!)
- BUT no reason to assume this *a priori*..
 - If we introduce R-Parity Violating terms into superpotential, LSP can decay to SM particles.

$$\lambda_{ijk}L^{i}L^{j}\overline{E}^{k} + \lambda_{ijk}^{\prime}L^{i}Q^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \lambda_{ijk}^{\prime\prime}\overline{U}^{i}\overline{D}^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \epsilon_{i}L_{i}H_{2}$$

Lepton number violating

- Many SUSY models assume R-Parity conservation, i.e.
 Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) is stable.
 - (Excellent Dark Matter candidate!)
- BUT no reason to assume this *a priori*..
 - If we introduce R-Parity Violating terms into superpotential, LSP can decay to SM particles.

$$\lambda_{ijk}L^{i}L^{j}\overline{E}^{k} + \lambda'_{ijk}L^{i}Q^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \lambda''_{ijk}\overline{U}^{i}\overline{D}^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \epsilon_{i}L_{i}H_{2}$$

Baryon number violating

- Many SUSY models assume R-Parity conservation, i.e.
 Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) is stable.
 - (Excellent Dark Matter candidate!)
- BUT no reason to assume this *a priori*..
 - If we introduce R-Parity Violating terms into superpotential, LSP can decay to SM particles.

 $\lambda_{ijk}L^{i}L^{j}\overline{E}^{k} + \lambda'_{ijk}L^{i}Q^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \lambda''_{ijk}\overline{U}^{i}\overline{D}^{j}\overline{D}^{k} + \epsilon_{i}L_{i}H_{2}$

If these couplings are weak, LSP can have a long lifetime.

Hidden valley

- Hidden sector interacts with SM via (heavy) Communicator particle(s).
 - Could be new Z', Higgs boson or bosons, heavy sterile neutrinos, or something else..



Weak coupling between SM and hidden sectors can lead to particles in hidden sector having long lifetimes. The Detector



- ATLAS is a great General Purpose
 Detector for all the usual reasons..
 - Hermetic coverage.
 - Precise tracking.
 - Good calorimeter energy resolution.
 - Efficient muon reconstruction.

 ATLAS is a great General Purpose Detector for all the usual reasons..
 But also....

25m

 ATLAS is a great General Purpose Detector for all the usual reasons..
 But also.....

It is **BIG!!**



 ATLAS is a great **General Purpose** Detector for all the usual reasons.. But also It is **BIG**!! And has several subdetectors with excellent time

resolution!

19



ATLAS has several subdetectors with excellent time resolution, including (but not only):









 ATLAS has several subdetectors with excellent time resolution, including (but not only): Liquid Argon (LAr) calorimeter. Tile calorimeter. Monitored Drift Tubes (MDTs). **Resistive Plate** Chambers (RPCs).





ATLAS inner tracking system consists of:

ATLAS inner tracking system consists of:

Pixel detector.



ATLAS inner tracking system consists of: Pixel detector. Semiconductor Tracker (SCT).



ATLAS inner tracking system consists of: Pixel detector. Semiconductor Tracker (SCT). **Transition Radiation** Tracker (TRT).



ATLAS inner tracking system consists of: Pixel detector. Semiconductor Tracker (SCT). **Transition Radiation** Tracker (TRT).

All within a 2T solenoidal B-field.









And there's more!!

 And there's more!!
 Pixel detector can measure ionization energy loss *dE/dx* via charge deposited (calculated from Time-over-Threshold).



And there's more!!
 TRT can also measure *dE/dx* via
 Time-over-Threshold.


The ATLAS Inner Detector (ID)

 And there's more!! TRT can also measure *dE/dx* via Time-over-Threshold and "High Threshold" hit fraction (primarily intended for identifying electrons emitting transition radiation) is also a useful variable for identifying highly-ionizing particles.

The ATLAS Calorimeters

 Liquid Argon (LAr) electromagnetic calorimeter has longitudinal as well as transverse segmentation.



The ATLAS Calorimeters

Liquid Argon (LAr) Tile barrel Tile extended barrel electromagnetic calorimeter has longitudinal as well as LAr hadronic transverse end-cap (HEC) 1111111111 segmentation. LAr electromagnetic - Can measure pointing end-cap (EMEC) direction of EM showers LAr electromagnetic barrel LAr forward (FCal)

The ATLAS Calorimeters

- Liquid Argon (LAr) electromagnetic calorimeter has longitudinal as well as transverse segmentation.
 - Can measure pointing LAr electromagnetic end-cap (EMEC) direction of EM showers
- Both LAr and Tile calorimeters can also measure *dE/dx* by summing energy deposits over path length.



The ATLAS Muon Spectrometer (MS)



The ATLAS Muon Spectrometer (MS)



The ATLAS Muon Spectrometer (MS)



The Data

ATLAS data-taking in 2011 and 2012



Mean Number of Interactions per Crossing

45

The Analyses

Stable Massive Particles (SMPs)

- Particles with lifetimes of order nanoseconds or greater are likely to traverse the whole detector.
 - If they are neutral, and weakly interacting, they will show up as missing $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}.$
 - If they are charged (at any point!) or strongly interacting, we have a chance to detect them directly!
- Several candidate particles, including:
 - Long-lived sleptons in GMSB models (presented here).
 - R-hadrons (stay tuned for 2012 results coming soon....)

Stable Massive Particles (SMPs)

- Particles with lifetimes of order nanoseconds or greater are likely to traverse the whole detector.
 - If they are neutral, and weakly interacting, they will show up as missing $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{T}}.$
 - If they are charged (at any point!) or strongly interacting, we have a chance to detect them directly!
- Several candidate particles, including:
 - Long-lived sleptons in GMSB models (presented here).
 - R-hadrons (stay tuned for 2012 results coming soon.....)
- Common feature: if they are massive, they will be produced with low velocities: β < 1.

SMPs - Combining β measurements

- Use Z to $\mu\mu$ events to calibrate β measurements.
- If β measurements from different systems are > 0.2 and internally consistent, they are combined in a weighted average.



Measuring the mass of SMPs

- Can measure time-of-flight in several subdetectors.
 - For these analyses, use Tile+LAr Calorimeters, RPC, MDT.
 - Can therefore measure velocity β .
- Can measure charged particle momentum *p* in Inner Detector and Muon Spectrometer.
- Can measure energy loss *dE/dx* in several subdetectors.
 - For these analyses, use Pixel detector.
 - dE/dX is related to relativistic boost factor $\beta\gamma$.

Measuring the mass of SMPs

- Can measure time-of-flight in several subdetectors.
 - For these analyses, use Tile+LAr Calorimeters, RPC, MDT.
 - Can therefore measure velocity β .
- Can measure charged particle momentum *p* in Inner Detector and Muon Spectrometer.
- Can measure energy loss *dE/dx* in several subdetectors.
 - For these analyses, use Pixel detector.
 - dE/dX is related to relativistic boost factor $\beta\gamma$.

p=βγm

Long-lived sleptons - selection

- Would behave like "heavy muons", releasing energy throughout detector.
- Likely to be 2 produced per event.
- Use single muon trigger.
- In offline selection, require 2 muon candidates per event.
- Define "loose" and "tight" SMP selections, based on p_T and β measurements.
- " "2 candidate signal region":
 - both candidates must pass loose selection.
- "1 candidate signal region":
 - one candidate passes tight selection.
 - used for background cross-checks.



Stable Massive Particles - backgrounds

- Main background for stable slepton searches is high- p_T muons with mis-measured β .
 - Exploit fact that mis-measurements of β or $\beta\gamma$ in different subdetectors are uncorrelated.
- Use data-driven method, based on randomly sampling
 β or βγ values from control sample distributions and
 combining with measured p for each candidate.
 - Sample many times for each p measurement to reduce statistical uncertainty.

Long-lived sleptons - results



No excess above background expectation is seen.

Slepton search - results

• Set limits on stau mass in GMSB scenario.



arXiv:1310.6584 [hep-ex]

Stopped R-hadrons

 Particles with very long lifetimes, produced with low β, could potentially stop in dense region of detector material.

- i.e. calorimeters.

- Look for significant calorimeter activity during empty bunch crossings.
- Potential backgrounds are:
 - Cosmics
 - Beam halo
 - Detector noise

arXiv:1310.6584 [hep-ex]

Stopped R-hadrons

 Particles with very long lifetimes, produced with low β, could potentially stop in dense region of detector material.

- i.e. calorimeters.

- Look for significant calorimeter activity during empty bunch crossings.
- Potential backgrounds are:
 - Cosmics

– Beam halo 🛩

Detector noise

Veto events containing reconstructed muon segments

Stopped R-hadrons

 Particles with very long lifetimes, produced with low β, could potentially stop in dense region of detector material.

- i.e. calorimeters.

- Look for significant calorimeter activity during empty bunch crossings.
- Potential backgrounds are:
 - Cosmics
 - Beam halo
 - Detector noise*

Veto events containing reconstructed muon segments

Use event cleaning and jet shape variable cuts

Stopped R-hadrons

 Particles with very long lifetimes, produced with low β, could potentially stop in dense region of detector material.

- i.e. calorimeters.

- Look for significant calorimeter activity during empty bunch crossings.
- Potential backgrounds are:
 - Cosmics
 - Beam halo
 - Detector noise

Rate is proportional to live-time (not lumi), measure during "cosmics period" in early 2011, and scale to "data period" from mid-2011 to end of 2012.

arXiv:1310.6584 [hep-ex]

Stopped R-hadrons

 Particles with very long lifetimes, produced with low β, could potentially stop in dense region of detector material.

- i.e. calorimeters.

- Look for significant calorimeter activity during empty bunch crossings.
- Potential backgrounds are:
 - Cosmics
 - Beam halo

Estimate using data from unpaired bunches.

Detector noise

arXiv:1310.6584 [hep-ex]

Stopped R-hadrons

 Particles with very long lifetimes, produced with low β, could potentially stop in dense region of detector material.

- i.e. calorimeters.

- Look for significant calorimeter activity during empty bunch crossings.
- Potential backgrounds are:
 - Cosmics
 - Beam halo
 - Detector noise

Negligible after event cleaning.

Stopped R-hadrons – beam halo



Stopped R-hadrons – cosmic muon



Stopped R-hadrons – acceptance and efficiency

- Simulation of stopped R-hadrons was significant technical challenge:
 - Sparticle pair-production and hadronization in PYTHIA
 - Propagate through GEANT4 detector simulation, and store stopping locations.
 - "Generic", "Regge", "Intermediate" models for R-hadron nuclear interactions and spectrum of R-hadron states
 - Decay R-hadrons in PYTHIA, translate to stopping location and add random rotation.

- To get timing acceptance for decays in the same LHC fill, need bunch structure within that fill
- To get acceptance for longer lifetimes, need whole luminosity history of LHC.



Stopped R-hadrons – results.

Leading jet	Muon	Number of events			
energy (GeV)	veto	Cosmic	Beam-halo	Total background	Observed
50	No	4820 ± 570	900 ± 130	5720 ± 590	5396
50	Yes	2.1 ± 3.6	12.1 ± 3.2	14.2 ± 4.0	10
100	Yes	0.4 ± 2.7	6.0 ± 1.8	6.4 ± 2.9	5
300	Yes	2.4 ± 2.4	0.54 ± 0.40	2.9 ± 2.4	0

No excess observed – set limits for various signal models:



Disappearing tracks - introduction

- SUSY breaking could leave the lowest gauginos approximately mass-degenerate (predicted, eg, by AMSB), giving rise to LL chargino decaying to neutralino and soft pion.
- Look for production processes:

$$pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 + \text{jet} , pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{-} + \text{jet}$$

(jet from ISR, needed to trigger on event).

- Resulting final state will include:
 - High p_T jet
 - Large missing transverse momentum.
 - High-p_T disappearing track (or "kinked" track, but reconstruction efficiency for soft pion is not so good..)

Disappearing tracks – simulated signal event.



Disappearing tracks - selection

Event selection:

- Trigger on jet + missing $E_{T.}$
- In offline selection, require missing $E_T > 90$ GeV and at least one jet with $p_T > 90$ GeV, well separated from missing E_T direction in ϕ .
- Lepton veto no reconstructed electron or muon candidates.
- Disappearing track candidate selection:
 - Track must be isolated,
 - have $p_T > 15$ GeV,
 - at least 3 Pixel, 1 b-layer and 2 SCT hits,
 - originate from primary vertex, and point to TRT barrel (but not region around $|\eta|=0$).
 - Fewer than 5 hits in TRT.



Disappearing tracks - backgrounds



Disappearing tracks - results

 Use signal+background likelihood fit to track p_T spectrum, to test different signal hypotheses.



Disappearing tracks - results

- Use signal+background likelihood fit to track p_T spectrum, to test different signal hypotheses.
- No significant excess found.



Disappearing tracks - results

- Use signal+background likelihood fit to track p_T spectrum, to test different signal hypotheses.
- No significant excess found.
- Set limits on chargino mass and lifetime:




Disappearing tracks - results

And on

mass

- Use signal+background likelihood fit to track p_T spectrum, to test different signal hypotheses.
- No significant excess found.
- Set limits on chargino mass and lifetime:





ATLAS-CONF-2012-113

Displaced vertices with tracks+muons, in the Inner Detector

- Particles with average lifetimes up to a few nanoseconds could decay within the ID, giving rise to displaced vertices.
- One of the easiest models to look for is RPV SUSY with a non-zero (but small) λ'_{211} coupling.
- Neutralino decays to muon plus jets.



ATLAS-CONF-2012-113

Displaced vertices with tracks+muons, in the Inner Detector

- Particles with average lifetimes up to a few nanoseconds could decay within the ID, giving rise to displaced vertices.
- One of the easiest models to look for is RPV SUSY with a non-zero (but small) λ'_{211} coupling.
- Neutralino decays to muon plus jets.
 - Muon is useful for triggering and background rejection.



ATLAS-CONF-2012-113

Displaced vertices with tracks+muons, in the Inner Detector

- Particles with average lifetimes up to a few nanoseconds could decay within the ID, giving rise to displaced vertices.
- One of the easiest models to look for is RPV SUSY with a non-zero (but small) λ'_{211} coupling.
- Neutralino decays to muon plus jets.
 - Muon is useful for triggering and background rejection.
 - High track multiplicity helps vertex reconstruction.



Displaced vertices – track and vertex reconstruction

- Standard ATLAS tracking is highly optimized for tracks coming from the primary interaction point (IP).
- To increase efficiency for secondary tracks, we re-run Silicon-seeded tracking algorithm, with looser cuts on transverse impact parameter, using "left-over" hits from Standard tracking.



- Vertex-finding algorithm based on *incompatibility graph* method.
- Iterative disambiguation process then splits/merges/refits vertices until no tracks are shared between vertices.

Displaced vertices – selection

- Events triggered by high-p_T muon trigger, with no ID track requirement.
- Use tracks with $|d_0| > 2mm$, $p_T > 1$ GeV as input to vertexing.
- Look in fiducial volume roughly corresponding to Pix barrel.
- Require at least 5 tracks in vertex, and mass > 10 GeV.
- Require high- p_T muon passing within 0.5mm of reco vertex.



 Veto vertices reconstructed in regions with high material density.

Displaced vertices – backgrounds

- Two sources of background vertices considered:
 - Purely random combinations of tracks inside the beampipe (where vacuum is good, but track density is high).
 - High-mass tail of distribution of real vertices from hadronic interactions with gas molecules.
 - Particularly if vertex is crossed by random (real or fake) track at large angle.



Displaced vertices – backgrounds

- Two sources of background vertices considered:
 - Purely random combinations of tracks inside the beampipe (where vacuum is good, but track density is high).
 - High-mass tail of distribution of real vertices from hadronic interactions with gas molecules.
 - Particularly if vertex is crossed by random (real or fake) track at large angle.



Use a different datadriven method for each background source: total estimate is

(0.02 ± 0.02)

vertices in signal region.

Displaced vertices – results

Zero vertices passing selection requirements observed in 20.3 fb⁻¹ data sample.



Displaced vertices – interpretation

- Use simplified RPV SUSY signal model to set limits.
- Squark pair production, squark decays directly to long-lived neutralino, which decays to muon plus jets.
- Three combinations of squark and neutralino mass, to get idea of effect of LLP mass and boost on reconstruction efficiency.



arXiv:1304.6310 [hep-ex]

Non-pointing photons

- If NLSP is a photino-rich neutralino, then GMSB models can have long-lived neutralinos decaying to photon+gravitino.
 - Use longitudinal segmentation of EM calorimeter to search for non-pointing photons.



Non-pointing photons

- If NLSP is a photino-rich neutralino, then GMSB models can have long-lived neutralinos decaying to photon+gravitino.
 - Use longitudinal segmentation of EM calorimeter to search for non-pointing photons.





Non-pointing photons – selection and background estimation.

- Make use of the fact that we expect 2 photons per event:
 - Require 1 "tight" reconstructed photon, plus one "loose" photon as non-pointing candidate.
- Require missing $E_T > 75$ GeV for signal region
 - Transverse energy carried off by Gravitinos.
 - Use lower missing- E_{T} regions as control regions.
- Use template method to determine quantities of signal and background, based on expected Z_{DCA} distributions.



 46 events with 1 tight + 1 loose photon observed in missing-E_T signal region.



 46 events with 1 tight + 1 loose photon observed in missing-E_T signal region:



 46 events with 1 tight + 1 loose photon observed in missing-E_T signal region:



- Examination of event display suggests this is a jet with a leading pi0.
- Timing information also suggests that source of the candidate is prompt..



Non-pointing photons - interpretation

 Set limits on number of signal events vs neutralino lifetime.





Also set limits on parameter space for this particular GMSB SUSY model (SPS8).

Non-pointing photons - interpretation

 Set limits on number of signal events vs neutralino lifetime:





Also set limits on parameter space for this particular GMSB SUSY model (SPS8).

Gap can potentially be filled by reinterpretation of SUSY prompt diphoton analysis.

- We can also look for displaced vertices at larger radii, near outer radius of hadronic calorimeter, or in the MS.
- As benchmark, take a Hidden Valley model, where hidden sector includes pseudoscalar π_v .
- Higgs could decay to pair of π_v .
 - Due to weak coupling with SM, π_v is long-lived.
 - Will decay to fermion-antifermion pair, predominantly bb,cc,τ⁺τ⁻ (due to helicity suppression).
- Signature will be two back-to-back (η , Φ) clusters of charged and neutral hadrons in the MS, (one for each π_v decay).
 - Use specially developed trigger algorithm, and specialized tracking and vertexing, to reconstruct vertices in MS.

- می در creates مالی ons Of Interest" (Rols) based on hits in the MS trigger chambers. "Muon Rol cluster trigger" +۱ elects evento
- more Rols in $\Delta R=0.4$ cone in MS barrel.





- Reconstruct "tracklets" from MDT hits.
- Extrapolate back through B-field, and reconstruct vertex position as point in (r,z) that uses highest number of tracklets to make vertex with χ^2 probability > 5%.







- Reconstructed vertices are required to:
 - have at least three "tracklets",
 - point back to IP,
 - be in range $|\eta| < 2.2$,
 - be separated from high- p_T tracks and jets.
- 2 vertices per event are required, separated by $\Delta R > 2$.
- Calculate background using data-driven method, exploiting the fact that the two vertices can be triggered on and reconstructed independently.
 - Estimate: 0.03±0.02 events.

No events seen passing all selection requirements, in 1.9 fb⁻¹ data.

• Set limits on h^0 to $\pi_v \pi_v$ cross-section as a function of π_v proper decay length, in multiples of SM Higgs production cross-section (assume 100% branching ratio).



arXiv:1301.5272 [hep-ex]

Multi-charged particles

- Some SUSY theories allow for stable, non-topological solitons, "Q-balls". [arXiv:hep-ph/9749492]
 - Could be copiously produced in early Universe, contribute to dark matter today.
- Long-lived, multi-charged particles will be highly ionizing, should leave distinctive dE/dx signature.
 - Use measurements from Pixel, TRT, and MDT.



Multi-charged particles

30

- Consider Drell-Yan production.
- Select events using highpT single muon trigger.

No events observed in signal region.

σ [pb]

Set limits on DY production cross section vs mass, for different charges.



Magnetic monopoles

- Magnetic monopoles appear in many Grand Unified Theories.
- Their existence would explain quantisation of electric charge.
- Dirac quantization condition:

$$\frac{ge}{\hbar c} = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{g}{e} = \frac{1}{2\alpha_e} \approx 68.5$$

- i.e. would interact with matter like an ion with electric charge 68.5*e*... very highly ionizing!!
 - Even more so due to "knock-on" δ -rays.
- Electrically neutral magnetic monopole traversing ID would be straight in (r,Φ) plane and curved in (r,z).

Magnetic monopoles

- Experimental signature would be large, localized energy deposit in EM calorimeter, associated with region of high ionization in TRT.
- Use high- p_T single electron trigger to select events.
- Use Φ position of EM cluster to define "roads" from beamline, and count TRT High Threshold hits.







Magnetic monopoles

- Final Discriminating variables are:
 - Fraction *f_{HT}* of High Threshold TRT hits in narrow road from beamline to cluster.
 - Energy-weighted η-Φ cluster dispersion σ_R in second layer of EM calorimeter.
- Main backgrounds are high-p_T electrons, photons, jets, which have no correlation in these variables.
 - Expected background in signal region is 0.011±0.007 events.



In 2 fb⁻¹ dataset, no events observed in signal region.

Magnetic monopoles - limits

Monopole cross section [fb]

- From MC signal, reconstruction efficiency is high and uniform for large range in E_T^{Kin}.
- Set upper limits on production crosssection for both single monopoles in fiducial region, and Drell-Yan production.





Conclusions

- Wide range of analyses, looking for many different signatures, and often using the detector in interesting and "non-standard" ways.
 - Provide a fun challenge for ambitious experimentalists!
- No sign of New Physics so far....
- BUT:
 - Almost all these analyses are being updated (and improved)
 - Those on 2011 data are being updated to use 2012 data.
 - Analyses that have preliminary conference results on 2012 data are being refined and extended for papers.
 - Plus more to come!
- We are doing our best to cover as much parameter space as we can..
 - And also to get maximum possible value out of our fantastic detector!

References:

- Stable sleptons: ATLAS-CONF-2013-058.
- Stopped gluinos: arXiv:1310.6584 [hep-ex], submitted to PRD.
- Disappearing tracks: arXiv:1310.3675 [hep-ex], submitted to PRD.
- Displaced vertices with muon: ATLAS-CONF-2013-092.
- Non-pointing photons: arXiv:1304.6310 [hep-ex], submitted to PRD.
- Light Higgs decay to LLPs: Phys.Rev.Lett. 108 (2012) 251801.
- Multi-charged particles: PLB 722 (2013) 305.
- Magnetic monopoles: arXiv:1207.6411 [hep-ex], submitted to PLB.

Backup

How to get $\beta\gamma$ from dE/dx

• Get most probable value of dE/dx from 5-parameter simplified version of Bethe-Bloch:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\frac{dE}{dx}}(\beta\gamma) = \frac{p_1}{\beta p_3} \log(1 + (p_2\beta\gamma)^{p_5}) - p_4$$

 Most probable value for MIPS is about 1.2MeVg⁻¹cm².
Long-lived sleptons - selection

- Use single muon trigger.
- In offline selection, require 2 muon candidates per event.
- Loose SMP selection:
 - p_T > 50 GeV (and consistent between MS and ID measurements)
 - Z-veto.
 - Consistent β and βγ measurements in different systems, with combined β <0.95.
- If one of the muon candidates in an event fails this loose SMP selection, the other one is then required to pass tight selection:
 - $p_{T} > 70 \text{ GeV}.$
 - Tighter requirements on consistency between β measurements.
- Final requirements on beta and betagamma optimized for each hypothesis.

- Full detector and MS-agnostic:
 - ID track with p>140 GeV and |eta|<2.5.
 - No jet with $p_T > 40$ GeV within 0.3 cone, no track with $p_T > 10$ GeV within 0.25 cone.
 - Good dE/dx measurement.
 - Uncertainty on beta less than 10% for calo only, or 4% for combination.
- ID only:
 - PV must have more than 4 tracks.
 - Offline missing E_{T} cut of 85 GeV.
 - 2 pixel and 6 SCT hits, $p_T > 50$ GeV and p > 100 GeV.
 - No tracks with $p_T > 1$ GeV within 0.25 cone.
- Final requirements on beta and betagamma optimized for each hypothesis.

SMPs - systematics

	GMSB sleptons		R-hao	R-hadrons	
Source	one-cand.	two-cand.	ID-only	other	
Theoretical systematic uncertainty on signal size	5	5	15-	15-30	
Uncertainty on signal efficiency					
Signal trigger efficiency	1.8	1.8	4.5	4.5	
QCD uncertainties (ISR, FSR)			8.5	8.5	
Signal pre-selection efficiency				1.5	
Momentum resolution	0.5	0.5	1.3	1.3	
Pixel dE/dx calibration			5.8-0.2	5	
Combined β timing calibration	4	6			
Calo β timing calibration				1.0	
MS β timing calibration				3.6	
Offline $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ scale			7.3-4.5		
Total uncertainty on signal efficiency	4.4	6.3	13.4-10.6	11.6	
Luminosity	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	
Experimental uncertainty on background estimate	11	13	3-20	15	

Disappearing tracks



Cross-section for direct chargino production.

Disappearing tracks – background and systematics

- Main background after high-pT isolated track selection is from W->tau nu events.
- Data-driven method uses control samples to get pT distribution
 - Non interacting hadron tracks by requiring >10 hits in TRT outer barrel.
 - Electrons, by requiring normal selection apart from lepton veto, and applying "medium" electron ID.
- Systematics:

Source	$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} = 100 { m GeV} [\%]$	$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} = 200 \text{ GeV } [\%]$	
(Theoretical uncertainty)			
Cross section	7	7	
(Uncertainty on the acceptance)			
Modeling of initial/final-state radiation	10	13	
JES/JER	10	6	
Trigger efficiency	3	3	
Pile-up modelling	0.5	0.5	
Track reconstruction efficiency	2	2	
Luminosity	3.9	3.9	
Sub-total	15	15	

Disappearing tracks - cutflow

Requirement	Observed	Signal events (efficiency [%])		
		$m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 100~{ m GeV}$	$m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 200 \; ext{GeV}$	
Quality requirements and trigger	3765627	1983 (3.0)	283.3 (6.7)	
Jet cleaning	2899498	1958 (3.0)	279.6 (6.6)	
Lepton veto	2186581	1906(2.9)	274.8 (6.5)	
Leading jet $p_{\rm T} > 90~{\rm GeV}$	2054262	1497(2.3)	237.7 (5.6)	
$E_{\rm T}^{ m miss} > 90~{ m GeV}$	1233864	1420 (2.2)	230.2 (5.5)	
$\Delta \phi_{ m min}^{ m jet-E_T^{ m mins}} > 1.5$	1191298	1402 (2.1)	227.4 (5.4)	
High- $p_{\rm T}$ isolated track selection	18493	90.5 (0.14)	9.1 (0.26)	
Disappearing-track selection	710	42.9 (0.066)	4.1 (0.12)	

Incompatibility graph

 S. R. Das, "On a new approach for finding all the modified cutsets in an incompatibility graph", IEEE Transactions on Computers v22(2) (1973) 187.

Abstract-The compatibility relation occurs in many different disciplines in science and engineering. When a compatibility relation exists between pairs of elements in a set, an important problem is to derive the collection of all those elements that form maximal compatibles. If the set of elements with the compatibility relation can be visualized as a compatibility graph of which the different nodes represent the elements of the set, the only edges of the graph being the nonoriented lines joining pairs of elements with the compatibility relation, then the problem of deriving the maximal compatibles becomes identical to the graph theory problem of finding all the maximal complete subgraphs in a symmetric graph. Recently, in connection with simplifying incompletely specified sequential machines, where a kind of compatibility relation also exists between pairs of internal states, Das and Sheng proposed a method for deriving the different maximal compatibles through finding all of the modified cut-sets of the incompatibility graph of the machine. This paper, without confining itself to only incompletely specified machines, considers the problem involving the compatibility relation in a broader perspective and suggests a new approach for finding all the modified cut-sets of the incompatibility graph of a set having a compatibility relation between its different pairs of elements.



Fig. 1. Compatibility graph of five elements.



Fig. 2. Incompatibility graph of five elements.

Displaced vertices – interpretation



Use CL_s method to set 95%C.L. upper limit on σ -vs- $c\tau$ for each mass combination.

Limit shown here is for two neutralinos per event, but efficiency factorizes, so limit for single vertex can be easily calculated: $(eff_{evt}=2*eff_{vtx}-eff_{vtx}^2)$.

Higgs to LLPs – systematics



Number of	QCD dijet	Data
MDT hits	Monte Carlo	
$300 \le N_{\rm MDT} < 400$	$10.1{\pm}2.2~\%$	$9.1{\pm}0.5~\%$
$400 \leq N_{\rm MDT} < 500$	$9.2{\pm}2.8~\%$	$10.5{\pm}0.7~\%$
$500 \leq N_{\rm MDT} < 600$	$13.1{\pm}5.4~\%$	$13.0{\pm}0.9~\%$
$N_{\rm MDT} \ge 600$	$16.5{\pm}4.5~\%$	$16.7{\pm}0.7~\%$

Look at data/MC difference in numbers of Rols and in vertex reconstruction efficiency for punch-through jets. Total systematic uncertainty on efficiency for reconstructing a vertex is 16%.

Higgs to LLP – ctau vs mass



Higgs to LLP – Rol positions in data



Higgs to LLP – Background estimate



Displaced muonic lepton jets

 Challenge is getting separate Rols from two very collimated muons, separated by DeltaR.



Displaced muonic lepton jets - selection

- Exactly 2 MJs, each of which have exactly 2 oppositely charged muons.
- Difference E_t^{isol} between calorimeter energy in R=0.4 cone around highest pT muon and in 0.2 cone must be < 5 GeV for both MJs.
- Sum of pT of all ID tracks in 0.4 cone around MJ must be < 4 GeV.
- abs(Delta phi) between two MJs must be >2.

Displaced lepton jets - cutflow

cut	cosmic-rays	multi-jet	total background	$m_H = 100 \text{ GeV}$	$m_H = 140 \text{ GeV}$	data
$N_{\rm MJ} = 2$	3.0 ± 2.1	N/A	N/A	$135 \pm 11^{+29}_{-21}$	$90\pm9^{+17}_{-13}$	871
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm isol} \le 5 { m GeV}$	3.0 ± 2.1	N/A	N/A	$132 \pm 11^{+28}_{-21}$	$88 \pm 9^{+17}_{-13}$	219
$ \Delta \phi \ge 2$	1.5 ± 1.5	$153\pm18\pm9$	$155 \pm 18 \pm 9$	$123 \pm 11^{+26}_{-19}$	$81\pm9^{+15}_{-12}$	104
$Q_{MJ} = 0$	1.5 ± 1.5	57 ±15±22	59 ± 15 ± 22	$121 \pm 11^{+26}_{-19}$	$79\pm8^{+15}_{-12}$	80
$ d_0 , z_0 $	$0^{+1.64}_{-0}$	111±39±63	111±39±63	$105 \pm 10^{+22}_{-16}$	$66\pm8^{+12}_{-10}$	70
$\Sigma p_{\rm T}^{\rm ID} < 3 { m GeV}$	$0^{+1.64}_{-0}$	$0.06{\pm}0.02^{+0.66}_{-0.06}$	0.06+1.64+0.66	$75\pm9^{+16}_{-12}$	$48\pm7^{+9}_{-7}$	0

Displaced lepton jets - systematics

- Luminosity: 3.7%.
- Muon momentum resolution: negligible.
- Trigger (evaluated using T&P on Jpsi->mumu): 17%.
- Reco efficieny (evaluated using T&P on Jpsi->mumu): 13%.
- Pile-up: negligible.

H1 monopole search

• H1 removed beampipe, used magnetometer to look for stable monopoles.

- Eur.Phys.J. C41 (2005) 133-141.



Displaced muonic lepton jets

- At the LHC, hidden sector particles could be produced with large boosts, such that their decay products form jet-like structures.
- If the Higgs can decay to hidden-sector fermions, these could in turn decay to a (potentially long-lived) neutral hidden-sector particle γ_d and a stable hidden sector fermion that escapes detection.
- Decay of γ_d could give rise to collimated pairs of leptons.



Displaced muonic lepton jets



Displaced muonic lepton jets – reconstruction and selection

- Muon jets (MJs) from displaced γ_d decays will have pair of muons in narrow cone.
- Use $low-p_T$ multi-muon trigger without any ID track requirement.
- Reconstruct tracks in MS, and use clustering algorithm to gather muons within a cone.
- Require MJs to have 2 oppositely charged muons, and 2 MJs per event.
- Reject background using cuts on track and calorimeter isolation, $\Delta \Phi$ between MJs.
- Use data collected in empty bunch crossings to estimate potential background from cosmic ray showers estimate fewer than 2 events.



Displaced muonic lepton jets – signal efficiency

• Use signal Monte Carlo samples with Higgs masses of 100 GeV and 140 GeV, γ_d mass of 0.4 GeV, and proper decay length $c\tau$ of a few cm.



Displaced muonic lepton jets – results

- No candidate events survive all selection requirements in 1.9 fb⁻¹ data sample.
- Set limits on σ .BR(H to $\gamma_d \gamma_d + X$) vs ct.
 - Assuming BR(γ_d to $\mu\mu$)=45% and mass(γ_d)=0.4 GeV.



Definition of R-parity

$P_R = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2s}$

SM particles have R-parity = +1 SUSY particles have R-parity = -1

- Can undergo interactions with detector material.
 Can even change charge as it moves through detector!
- If β is too low, particle might be associated with following bunch crossing by the time it gets to MS.
- Due to both these effects, efficiency for single muon trigger can be quite low.

→also use missing E_T trigger (due to strong production, events often contain high p_T jets, while R-hadron itself will only deposit a small amount of energy in calorimeters).

- Three different analyses:
- "Full Detector",
- "MS agnostic",
- "ID only".

- Can undergo interactions with detector material.
 Can even change charge as it moves through detector!
- If β is too low, particle might be associated with following bunch crossing by the time it gets to MS.
- Due to both these effects, efficiency for single muon trigger can be quite low.

→ also use missing E_T trigger (due to strong production, events often contain high p_T jets, while R-hadron itself will only deposit small amount of energy in calorimeters).

- Three different analyses:
- "Full Detector", 🗲
- "MS agnostic",
- "ID only".

Uses the most information – best sensitivity for SMPs that are charged all the way through.

- Can undergo interactions with detector material.
 Can even change charge as it moves through detector!
- If β is too low, particle might be associated with following bunch crossing by the time it gets to MS.
- Due to both these effects, efficiency for single muon trigger can be quite low.

→ also use missing E_T trigger (due to strong production, events often contain high p_T jets, while R-hadron itself will only deposit small amount of energy in calorimeters).

- Three different analyses:
- "Full Detector",
- − "MS agnostic", <</p>
- "ID only".

Can detect R-hadrons even if

they become neutral before traversing Muon Spectrometer.

- Can undergo interactions with detector material.
 Can even change charge as it moves through detector!
- If β is too low, particle might be associated with following bunch crossing by the time it gets to MS.
- Due to both these effects, efficiency for single muon trigger can be quite low.

→ also use missing E_T trigger (due to strong production, events often contain high p_T jets, while R-hadron itself will only deposit small amount of energy in calorimeters).

- Three different analyses:
- "Full Detector",
- "MS agnostic",
- "ID only". ⁴

Can also detect R-hadrons that

decay with few ns average lifetime.

- All three analyses require good quality, isolated, high-momentum ID track.
- "MS agnostic" uses missing E_T triggers, and calorimeter-only timing measurement.
- "ID only" analysis has tighter selection:
 - Offline missing E_T cut.
 - Tighter cuts on isolation and number of silicon hits.



- All three analyses require good quality, isolated, high-momentum ID track.
- "MS agnostic" uses missing E_T triggers, and calorimeter-only timing measurement.
- "ID only" analysis has tighter selection:
 - Offline missing E_T cut.
 - Tighter cuts on isolation and number of silicon hits.



- All three analyses require good quality, isolated, high-momentum ID track.
- "MS agnostic" uses missing E_T triggers, and calorimeter-only timing measurement.
- "ID only" analysis has tighter selection:
 - Offline missing E_T cut.
 - Tighter cuts on isolation and number of silicon hits.



R-hadron searches - results



m_β [GeV]

R-hadron searches - results

- No excess above background expectation seen in any of the three analyses.
- Set limits on gluino R-hadrons:





R-hadron searches - results

- No excess above background expectation seen in any of the three analyses.
- Set limits on squark R-hadrons (using triple-Regge model):



