# Evidence of the four-top-quark production at the LHC





Nedaa-Alexandra Asbah HEP Seminar - Birmingham 19th of January 2022

# Top-quark

- Top-quark is the **heaviest** of all known fundamental particles  $m_{top} \sim 170 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - a bizarrely steep mass hierarchy
  - Even heavier than the Higgs boson
  - Unique role as a result of its mass
  - Many models predict that the top is special in order to explain its mass
- Leaves us wondering:
  - Is the top mass from the Higgs mechanism?
  - Is there a hidden connection with the EWSB mechanism?
  - Does it have any connection to Higgs compositeness?





# **Top-quark**

- Strongly interacts with the Higgs sector
  - Large top yukawa coupling yt ~ 1



# **Top-quark**

- Short-lived, it decays before hadronizing
  - $\tau_{had} \approx 2 \times 10^{-24} s$
  - $\tau_{top} \approx 0.5 \times 10^{-24} s$
  - Possible to study the properties of a bare quark
- LHC is a top factory & many top-quarks are produced at the LHC
  - About 25,000  $t\bar{t}$  events are produced every hour
- Gateway to New Physics
  - Precision SM top-quark properties measurements
  - Search for non-SM top-quark interactions
  - Searches of top-quark partners and other states



 Run 1 @ 7 TeV
 Run 1 @ 8 TeV
 Run 2 @ 13 TeV
 Theory









- $t\bar{t}$ +X events are related to new physics and important backgrounds for rare SM processes
- Rare top production modes become fully accessible with Run 2 data



- $t\bar{t}Z/t\bar{t}W$  are among the most massive signatures that can be studied at the LHC with high precision
- Important backgrounds for searches and measurements



tt
 tt

 tt
 H

 Where the second secon



- Today I will talk about  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$
- Very tiny cross section in the SM
- $\sigma_{SM}(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 11.97$  fb at NLO QCD + NLO QED at **13 TeV** <u>JHEP 02, 031 (2018)</u>





- Rare process predicted by the SM and has never been observed
- Very complicated process: 72 gg + 12  $q\bar{q}$  initiated diagrams at LO
- Sensitive to top-Yukawa coupling (yt)
  - A non-SM value of yt can change dramatically the production via an off-shell Higgs

- Rare process predicted by the SM and has never been observed
- Very **complicated** process: **72 gg** + 12  $q\bar{q}$  initiated diagrams at LO
- Sensitive to top-Yukawa coupling (yt)
  - A non-SM value of  $y_t$  can change dramatically the production via an off-shell Higgs



Leading:  $O(\alpha_{s^4})$ 



- Rare process predicted by the SM and has never been observed
- Very **complicated** process: 72 gg + **12**  $q\bar{q}$  initiated diagrams at LO
- Sensitive to top-Yukawa coupling (yt)
  - A non-SM value of  $y_t$  can change dramatically the production via an off-shell Higgs



The production of  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  is predominantly a QCD process of order O( $\alpha_s^4$ )



- Rare process predicted by the SM and has never been observed
- Very complicated process: 72 gg + 12  $q\bar{q}$  initiated diagrams at LO
- Sensitive to the magnitude and CP properties of the Yukawa coupling of the top quark to the Higgs boson
  - four top quarks can be produced via an offshell SM Higgs boson



Sensitive to many BSM models



15

#### • We have **four-tops** in our final state

- Each **top** decays to **Wb** and the detector signature is defined by:
  - The presence of four b-quarks
  - The decays of the W bosons



- We have **four-tops** in our final state
- Each **top** decays to **Wb** and the detector signature is defined by:
  - The presence of four b-quarks
  - The decays of the W bosons



- $W \rightarrow q\bar{q} 2/3$
- $W \rightarrow \tau \nu 1/9$
- $W \rightarrow e\nu 1/9$
- $W \rightarrow \mu \nu 1/9$



- We have four-tops in our final state
- Each **top** decays to **Wb** and the detector signature is defined by:
  - The presence of four b-quarks
  - The decays of the W bosons



- $W \rightarrow q\bar{q} 2/3$
- $W \to \tau \nu \ 1/9$ •  $W \to e\nu \ 1/9$ •  $W \to e\nu \ 1/9$ •  $\tau \to \mu \nu \ (17.5\%)$ •  $W \to \mu \nu \ 1/9$ •  $\tau \to e\nu \ (17.5\%)$

b

- We have four-tops in our final state
- Each **top** decays to **Wb** and the detector signature is defined by:
  - The presence of four b-quarks
  - The decays of the W bosons



- We have four-tops in our final state
- Each **top** decays to **Wb** and the detector signature is defined by:
  - The presence of four b-quarks
  - The decays of the W bosons



- Channels are split according to:
  - 2{SS/31: 21SS (7%) / 31 (5%) Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80:1085
    - Small branching fraction
    - Small background (ttW, ttZ, non-prompt leptons, charge misidentification)
    - Most sensitive channel
  - 12/220S: 12 (42%) / 220S (14%) JHEP 11 (2021) 118
    - Dominant branching fraction
    - Large irreducible background from tt+jets (tt+heavy flavour jets)
  - 0ł (32%)
    - Experimentally very challenging
      - Large multi-jet background
    - Not yet explored in ATLAS



#### **Search Strategy**



Perform a fit in the Control and Signal Regions to extract the signal strength  $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM}$ 

Extract measured cross section and compare to theory!

#### **Event Selection**



Perform a fit in the Control and Signal Regions to extract the signal strength  $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM}$ 

Extract measured cross section and compare to theory!

## **Event Selection**

- Focus on interesting events & maximize the statistical significance of a potential signal excess
- Reduce major backgrounds (maximizing the significance of an excess)
- Using full Run 2 dataset: 139 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Selection requirements in the **2\\$S**/**3\\$** (signal region):
  - 2 same-sign leptons or 3 leptons (l=e,µ)
  - ≥ 6 jets (p<sub>T</sub> > 25 GeV)
  - ≥ 2 b-tagged jets
    - efficiency of identifying b-jets is 77%

• H<sub>T</sub> > 500 GeV ; 
$$H_T = \sum^{leptons} P_T + \sum^{jets} P_T$$







## **Event Selection**

- Selection requirements in the **1***l***/2***l***OS**:
  - Expect 10 (8) jets in 1L (2IOS) and 4 b-jets at truth level
  - Targeting events with high jet and b-jet multiplicities
- Event pre-Selection:
  - 1 e/µ or 2 e/µ
  - $N_{jets} \ge 7$  (1L),  $N_{jets} \ge 5$  (2L)
  - Nb≥2





#### Analysis regions



Perform a fit in the Control and Signal Regions to extract the signal strength  $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM}$ 

Extract measured cross section and compare to theory!

## **Control Regions**



Perform a fit in the Control and Signal Regions to extract the signal strength  $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM}$ 

Extract measured cross section and compare to theory!

- Irreducible backgrounds:
  - Leptons from W, Z or leptonic τ decays
    - $t\bar{t}W$  (37%),  $t\bar{t}Z$  (17%), and  $t\bar{t}H$  (14%)
    - Others (10%): Diboson, triboson, VH+jets, ttWW, tWZ, tZq
    - *ttt* (1%)
- Evaluated using MC normalised to SM cross sections, except  $t\bar{t}W$  which is floating in the fit
  - Defined a dedicated Control Region for  $t\bar{t}W$









- The motivation to float the  $t\overline{t}W$  background comes from:
  - the large  $t\bar{t}W$ +jets background normalisation factor found in recent measurements in a similar phase space ttH(H→multileptons)
- from: tor found in H(H  $\rightarrow$  multi-  $W^{\pm}$ 
  - the effect of missing electroweak corrections in the MC simulation



#### ATLAS-CONF-2019-045

- Reducible backgrounds (3 dedicated control regions):
  - Charge mis-assignment (6%) and relevant for the 2<sup>2</sup>SS channel
    - Charge of electron is mis-measured, caused by:
      - Bremsstrahlung photon emission followed by its conversion







- Fake and non-prompt backgrounds (15%):
  - electrons from  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  conversion in detector
  - a virtual photon  $\gamma^*$  leading to an e+e– pair (Low M<sub>ee</sub>)
  - electrons (muons) from heavy-flavour (HF) decay





31

- **Template Method** is used to determine the major backgrounds
  - Background shapes are estimated from MC
  - Normalisation is obtained from the fit
  - Fit is performed in 1 Signal Region 4 Control regioins
    - Dedicated Control Regions are defined to constrain normalisation factors and the modeling is validated in the validation regions



#### Electron from Heavy Flavour

#### Muon from Heavy Flavour



- **Template Method** is used to determine the major backgrounds
  - Background shapes are estimated from MC
  - Normalisation is obtained from the fit
  - Fit is performed in 1 Signal Region 4 Control regioins
    - Dedicated Control Regions are defined to constrain normalisation factors and the modeling is validated in the validation regions





 $t\bar{t}W$ 

33

- Results of the Template Fit
  - The factors are compatible with unity except for NFttw and NFMaterial Conversion

Fake and non-prompt backgrounds

Parameter	$NF_{t\bar{t}W}$	NF <sub>Mat. Conv.</sub>	NF <sub>Low Mee</sub>	NF <sub>HF</sub> e	$NF_{HF \mu}$
Value	$1.6 \pm 0.3$	$1.6 \pm 0.5$	$0.9 \pm 0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$

 The high NF<sub>ttw</sub> is compatible with previous ATLAS ttH(H→multi-leptons) results and results from CMS

# **2** $\ell$ **S**/3 $\ell$ Channel: $t\bar{t}W$ Validation Region

- Use Validation Region to check  $t\bar{t}W$ +jets normalisation and modeling
  - Additional jets: Uncertainty of 125% is assigned to events with 7 jets and 300% is assigned to events with ≥8 jets
    - Based on Validation Region mismodeling



#### $t\bar{t}W$ Validation Region: $\geq$ 4jets $\geq$ 2b-tagged

# Backgrounds in the 11/210S Channel

- Dominated by background coming from  $t\bar{t}$ +jets; mainly  $t\bar{t}$ + $b\bar{b}$
- Small contribution from non-ttbar background:




# Backgrounds in the 11/210S Channel

- $t\bar{t}$ +jets is a challenging background to model
  - Many additional jets are produced in the parton shower with limited precision
  - Modelling of HF jets (b/c) is even more challenging



 $t\bar{t}$ + $b\bar{b}$  is underestimated by the current MC simulations







# Regions in the 11/210S Channel

- Events are categorized according to the number of jets and different b-tagging requirements
  - Both number of b-tags & their quality (Low or High)



#### **Example from the 1I channel**

# Regions in the 11/210S Channel

- Events are categorized according to the number of jets and different b-tagging requirements
  - Both number of b-tags & their quality (Low or High)

Name	$N_{b}^{60\%}$	$N_b^{70\%}$	$N_b^{85\%}$
2b	-	= 2	-
3bL	$\leq 2$	= 3	-
3bH	= 3	= 3	= 3
3bV	= 3	= 3	≥ 4
$\geq$ 4b (2LOS)	-	≥ 4	-
4b (1L)	-	= 4	-
≥5b (1L)	-	≥ 5	-

#### Evample from the 11 abound



# 12/220S Channel: Analysis Regions

- 12 Signal and Control regions will be used as input for the binned profile likelihood fit
  - H<sub>1</sub><sup>all</sup> (lepton+jets activities) distributions are used in Control Regions



# 11/210S Channel: Analysis Regions

• Events with 2-bjets are used to derive pre-fit corrections factors applied to the  $t\bar{t}$ +jets MC simulations



# 1 $\ell/2\ell$ OS Channel: $t\bar{t}$ +jets Backgrounds

- Developed techniques to tackle MC mismodeling in 2 b-tagged regions
  - Derived rescaling factors at pre-fit level





≥5b

4b 3bV

3bH

Signal region

Validation regions

#### $\ell/2\ell$ OS Channel: $t\bar{t}$ +jets Backgrounds

• Better Data/MC agreement after correcting the  $t\bar{t}$ +jets background



# **Signal Seperation**



Perform a fit in the Control and Signal Regions to extract the signal strength  $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM}$ 

Extract measured cross section and compare to theory!

# Use of BDT in the Signal Region

- Signal is separated from background based on a multivariate discriminant built in the signal region by combining many input observables into a BDT:
- Observables are selected based on their discrimination power and the requirement of good modelling
  - b-tagging information: Sum of the pseudo-continuous b-tagging discriminant score
  - Lepton and jet kinematics





# Use of BDT in the Signal Region

- Signal is separated from background based on a multivariate discriminant built in the signal region by combining many input observables into a BDT:
- Observables are selected based on their discrimination power and the requirement of good modelling
  - b-tagging information: Sum of the pseudo-continuous b-tagging discriminant score
  - Lepton and jet kinematics



### **Profile Likelihood Fit**



Perform a fit in the Control and Signal Regions to extract the signal strength  $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM}$ 

Extract measured cross section and compare to theory!

#### **Results: post-fit plots**

- A simultaneous profile likelihood fit is performed in the Control Regions and Signal Regions
  - The systematic uncertainties in both the signal and background predictions are included as nuisance parameters in the likelihood function



- The measured tttt signal strength is found to be:
  - $\mu = 2.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4}(stat) \quad {}^{+0.7}_{-0.5}(syst) = 2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$





 The measured tttt signal strength is found to be:

 $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM} = 2.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} (stat) \quad ^{+0.7}_{-0.5} (syst) = 2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$ 

- Cross section:  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 24^{+5}_{-5}(stat) + 5_{-4}(syst) fb = 24^{+7}_{-6} fb$
- Compared to the theoretical predication of  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 12 \pm 2\,fb$



50





 The measured tttt signal strength is found to be:

$$\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM} = 2.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} (stat) \quad ^{+0.7}_{-0.5} (syst) = 2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$$

- Cross section:  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 24^{+5}_{-5}(stat) + 5_{-4}(syst) fb = 24^{+7}_{-6} fb$
- Compared to the theoretical predication of  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) - 12 + 2 fb$



# Strong 4.3 $\sigma$ (2.4 $\sigma$ expected) evidence!!!!



 The measured tttt signal strength is found to be:

$$\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM} = 2.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} (stat) \quad ^{+0.7}_{-0.5} (syst) = 2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$$

- Cross section:  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 24^{+5}_{-5}(stat) + 5_{-4}(syst) fb = 24^{+7}_{-6} fb$
- Compared to the theoretical predication of  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 12 \pm 2\,fb$
- Strong 4.3σ (2.4σ expected) evidence
  - Consistent to 1.7σ with the Standard Model
  - Extensive tests were done to check the stability & consistency of the result





# 22SS/32 Channel: Uncertainties

- The dominant systematics uncertainties on the signal strength are:
  - Theoretical uncertainty on the signal
  - Data statistics
  - $t\bar{t}W$  modeling
  - ttt modeling
  - Instrumental
    - B-tagging and Jet Energy Scale
  - Non-prompt lepton normalisation and modelling

Uncertainty source		$\Delta \mu$	
Signal modelling			
tītī cross section	+0.56	-0.31	
<i>tītī</i> modelling		-0.09	
Background modelling			
$t\bar{t}W$ modelling	+0.26	-0.27	
tīt modeling	+0.10	-0.07	
Non-prompt leptons modeling	+0.05	-0.04	
$t\bar{t}H$ modelling	+0.04	-0.01	
$t\bar{t}Z$ modelling	+0.02	-0.04	
Charge misassignment	+0.01	-0.02	
Instrumental			
Jet uncertainties	+0.12	-0.08	
Jet flavour tagging (light-jets)	+0.11	-0.06	
Simulation sample size	+0.06	-0.06	
Luminosity	+0.05	-0.03	
Jet flavour tagging (b-jets)	+0.04	-0.02	
Other experimental uncertainties		-0.01	
Jet flavour tagging (c-jets)	+0.03	-0.01	
Total systematic uncertainty	+0.69	-0.46	
Statistical	+0.42	-0.39	
Non-prompt leptons normalisation(HF, material conversions)		-0.04	
$t\bar{t}W$ normalisation	+0.04	-0.04	
Total uncertainty		-0.62	



### Results: 11/210S Channel

- The measured  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  signal strength is found to be:  $\mu = \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM} = 2.2^{+0.7}_{-0.7} (stat.) + 1.5_{-1.0} (syst) = 2.2^{+1.6}_{-1.2}$
- Cross section:

 $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 26 \pm 8(stat) + 15_{-13}(syst.) fb = 26_{-15}^{+17} fb$ 

- Compared to the theoretical predication of  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 12 \pm 2.4 \, fb$
- Observed (expected) significance: 1.9 (1.0) σ





54



# 11/210S Channel: Uncertainties

- The dominant systematics uncertainties are coming from the four-top signal and <u>t</u>t+jets background modelling uncertainties
- Substantial impact from JES uncertainties and from the b-tagging efficiencies on light jets

	Uncertainty source	$\Delta \sigma_{t\bar{t}t}$	$\bar{t}$ [fb]
·	Signal Modelling		
	$t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ modelling	+8	-3
·	Background Modelling		
	$t\bar{t}+\geq 1b$ modelling	+8	-7
	$t\bar{t}+\geq 1c$ modelling	+5	-4
	$t\bar{t}$ +jets reweighting	+4	-3
	Other background modelling	+4	-3
	$t\bar{t}$ +light modelling	+2	-2
	Experimental		
	• Jet energy scale and resolution	+6	-4
	b-tagging efficiency and mis-tag rates	+4	-3
	MC statistical uncertainties	+2	-2
	Luminosity	< 1 < 1	
	Other uncertainties		
	Total systematic uncertainty	+15	-12
	Statistical uncertainty	+8	-8
	Total uncertainty	+17	-15

# Combination of 22SS/32 and 12/22OS Channels

- The combined four-top cross-section:  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 25^{+7}_{-6} fb$
- To be compared to  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 12 \pm 2.4 \, fb$
- Compatible with the SM prediction within 2.0  $\sigma$
- Observed (expected) significance:
  4.7 (2.6) σ



# Combination of 22SS/32 and 12/22OS Channels

- The combined four-top cross-section:  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 25^{+7}_{-6} fb$
- To be compared to  $\sigma(t\bar{t}t\bar{t}) = 12 \pm 2.4 \, fb$
- Compatible with the SM prediction within 2.0  $\sigma$
- Observed (expected) significance:
  4.7 (2.6) σ



ATLAS finds further confirmation of evidence for four top-quark process





#### **Results from CMS**

- Similarly CMS published results for 22S/32 channel using the full run 2 data-set (Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 75)
  - Used BDTs to separate signal from background
  - Events split in many signal regions
  - Observed (expected) significance: 2.6 (2.7) σ
  - Measured cross-section :  $12.6^{+5.8}_{-5.2}$  fb



 $|y_t/y_t^{SM}| < 1.7$ upper limit ranges from [1.4, 2.0]



#### **Results from CMS**

- Also published results for the 1/2/OS Channel using 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> of run 2 data-set (JHEP 11 (2019) 082)
- Events split in several categories for 1ℓ and 2ℓOS
  - using (b-)jet multiplicity and different b-tagging working points
  - BDT discriminants in signal regions to separate signal from backgrounds
    - includes a BDT identifying 3-jets groups from hadronic top, N<sub>jets</sub>, topology variables
  - Observed (expected) significance: 0.0 (0.4)  $\sigma$



#### Summary of ATLAS and CMS measurements



#### Search for heavy resonances in four-top-quark final state



#### ATLAS-CONF-2021-048



# Motivation

- In many BSM theories, new "top-philic" vector resonances are predicted
  - Associated production  $t\bar{t}Z'$  is then favored over  $q\bar{q}$  annihilation
- Decay of the resonance to  $t\bar{t}$  leads to  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  final states
- Consider a color singlet vector particle (Z') which dominantly couple to  $t\bar{t}$



- Resonance mass:  $m_{Z'} = [1, 1.25, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0]$  TeV
- Coupling to top quarks:  $c_t = 1$  (4% relative width)
- Chirality parameter:  $\theta = \pi/4$  ( $t\bar{t}Z'$  production insensitive to  $\theta$ ) Phys. Rev. D 94, 035023 (2016)
  - Loop-induced production of the  $Z^{'}$  resonance is strongly suppressed

#### Reconstruction

- Focus on **1 lepton** channel using ATLAS 139 fb<sup>-1</sup> Run-2 data
- Strategy: Use reclustered large-R jets to reconstruct the resonance, targeting fully hadronic decay
  - Invariant mass of the top candidates  $(m_{JJ})$  is the main discriminant



# **Background Estimation**

- Region definition based on number of additional jets (N<sub>add.-jets</sub>) and number of b-jets (N<sub>b-iets</sub>)
- Functional form fit to data m<sub>JJ</sub> distribution in source region, extrapolated to signal regions by ratios of fits to MC m<sub>JJ</sub> distributions





#### Results

- Good agreement between data and estimated background
  - no significant bumps detected
- Limits set on simplified model as a function of  $Z^{'}$  mass
  - Observed (expected) limits range from 65 (54) fb at 1 TeV to 12 (11) fb at 3 TeV



# Outlook

- We've found exciting results using the full run 2 data-set
- A slight excess in the measured four-top cross section, but still compatible with the SM prediction within 2  $\sigma$
- Efforts have started to both improve upon the latest result
  - Run 3 will double our dataset, and could lead to discovery (5  $\sigma$ ) of the fourtop process
  - Will greatly benefit from better modeling of  $t\bar{t}W \& t\bar{t}bb$  processes, & from new techniques to better constrain these backgrounds
- Have started exploring Beyond-the-SM interpretations such as EFT or new resonances





SS eµ 7 jets 4 b-jets H<sub>T</sub> = 723 GeV



# Thank you!

#### Beyond the tttt measurement back-up



# **Top-Higgs Yukawa Coupling**

- Sensitive to top Yukawa coupling
- off-shell Higgs does not depend on the Higgs width/BR assumptions
- Sources of CP violation?
  - The general top-quark Yukawa coupling is parameterized as following  $\mathscr{L}_{Htt} = -\frac{m_t}{\nu} H \overline{t} (a_t + i b_t \gamma_5) t$   $\sigma_{(t\overline{t}t\overline{t})_{13 \ TeV}} = 9.998 - 1.522a_t^2 + 2.883b_t^2 + 1.173a_t^4 + 2.713a_t^2b_t^2 + 1.827b_t^4$







- Effective operators as higher dimensional terms  $\mathscr{L}_{EFT} = \mathscr{L}_{SM}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{k} C_{k}^{(5)} \mathscr{O}_{k}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{k} C_{k}^{(6)} \mathscr{O}_{k}^{(6)} + \dots$
- dimension 6-operators that mainly contribute to tttt production

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{t\bar{t}}^{1} &= (\bar{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\gamma^{\mu}t_{\mathrm{R}})(\bar{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\gamma_{\mu}t_{\mathrm{R}})\\ \mathcal{O}_{QQ}^{1} &= (\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{\mathrm{L}})(\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\gamma_{\mu}Q_{\mathrm{L}})\\ \mathcal{O}_{Qt}^{1} &= (\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{\mathrm{L}})(\bar{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\gamma_{\mu}t_{\mathrm{R}})\\ \mathcal{O}_{Qt}^{8} &= (\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\gamma^{\mu}T^{\mathrm{A}}Q_{\mathrm{L}})(\bar{t}_{\mathrm{R}}\gamma_{\mu}T^{\mathrm{A}}t_{\mathrm{R}})\\ \mathcal{O}_{Q0}^{8} &= (\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\gamma^{\mu}T^{\mathrm{A}}Q_{\mathrm{L}})(\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\gamma_{\mu}T^{\mathrm{A}}Q_{\mathrm{L}}) \end{split}$$

- also sensitive to heavy-light type operators
  - Full set of 14 operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{Qq}^{(8,3)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu T^a \tau^i Q_L\right) \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu T^a \tau^i q_L\right) & \mathcal{O}_{Qq}^{(8,1)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu T^a Q_L\right) \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu T^a q_L\right) & \mathcal{O}_{td}^{(8,1)} &= \left(\bar{t}_R \gamma_\mu T^a t_R\right) \left(\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu T^a d_R\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{td}^{(8)} &= \left(\bar{t}_R \gamma_\mu T^a t_R\right) \left(\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu T^a u_R\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{tu}^{(8)} &= \left(\bar{t}_R \gamma_\mu T^a t_R\right) \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu T^a q_L\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{Qd}^{(8)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu T^a Q_L\right) \left(\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu T^a d_R\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{Qu}^{(8)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu T^a Q_L\right) \left(\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu T^a u_R\right) \end{aligned}$$

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1708.05928.pdf

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{Qq}^{(1,3)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu \tau^i Q_L\right) \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu \tau^i q_L\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{Qq}^{(1,1)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu Q_L\right) \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{td}^{(1)} &= \left(\bar{t}_R \gamma_\mu t_R\right) \left(\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{tu}^{(1)} &= \left(\bar{t}_R \gamma_\mu t_R\right) \left(\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{tq}^{(1)} &= \left(\bar{t}_R \gamma_\mu t_R\right) \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{Qd}^{(1)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu Q_L\right) \left(\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{Qu}^{(1)} &= \left(\bar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu Q_L\right) \left(\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R\right) \end{aligned}$$

70

### **EFT** past results

- CMS has set limit on four major dimension-6 operators that mainly contribute to tttt
- using 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> of run 2 data (arxiv.1906.02805)
- observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit on cross-section, 33 (20) fb

Operator	Expected $C_k / \Lambda^2$ (TeV <sup>-2</sup> )	Observed (TeV $^{-2}$ )
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{tt}}^1$	[-2.0, 1.9]	[-2.2, 2.1]
$\mathcal{O}_{QQ}^1$	[-2.0, 1.9]	[-2.2, 2.0]
$\mathcal{O}^1_{\mathrm{Qt}}$	[-3.4, 3.3]	[-3.7, 3.5]
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Ot}}^{8}$	[-7.4, 6.3]	[-8.0, 6.8]

- ATLAS set limit on the pure right-handed operator  $\mathcal{O}_{t\bar{t}}^1$  using 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> of run 2 data (JHEP12(2018)039)
- observed (expected) limit on Wilson coefficient

 $|C_{4t}|/\Lambda^2 < 1.9 \text{ TeV}^{-2}(1.6 \text{ TeV}^{-2})$ 



#### 2¿SS/3¿ Channel back-up


# 22SS/32 Channel: Selection in the different regions

**Table 1** Summary of the signal and control regions used in the template fit. The variable  $m_{ee}^{CV}$  ( $m_{ee}^{PV}$ ) is defined as the invariant mass of the system formed by the track associated with the electron and the

closest track at the conversion (primary) vertex.  $N_j$  ( $N_b$ ) indicates the jet (*b*-tagged jet) multiplicity in the event.  $H_T$  is defined as the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the isolated leptons and jets

Region	Channel	$N_{j}$	N <sub>b</sub>	Other requirements	Fitted variable
SR	2LSS/3L	$\geq 6$	$\geq 2$	$H_{\rm T} > 500$	BDT
CR Conv.	$e^\pm e^\pm   e^\pm \mu^\pm$	$4 \le N_j < 6$	$\geq 1$	$m_{ee}^{\rm CV} \in [0, 0.1 {\rm GeV}]$	$m_{ee}^{ m PV}$
				$200 < H_{\rm T} < 500 {\rm ~GeV}$	
CR HF e	eee    ee $\mu$	_	= 1	$100 < H_{\rm T} < 250 {\rm ~GeV}$	Counting
CR HF $\mu$	еµµ    µµµ	_	= 1	$100 < H_{\rm T} < 250 {\rm ~GeV}$	Counting
CR ttW	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}  \mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm} $	$\geq 4$	$\geq 2$	$m_{ee}^{\text{CV}} \notin [0, 0.1 \text{ GeV}],  \eta(e)  < 1.5$	$\Sigma p_{\mathrm{T}}^\ell$
				For $N_b = 2$ , $H_T < 500$ GeV or $N_j < 6$	
				For $N_b \ge 3$ , $H_T < 500$ GeV	

# 22SS/32 Channel: Background composition



## 22SS/32 Channel: Background composition



#### 22SS/32 Channel: pre-fit plots (input variables to the BDT)





#### 22SS/32 Channel: post-fit plots (input variables to the BDT)



#### 22SS/32 Channel: post-fit plots (input variables to the BDT)



## 22SS/32 Channel: ttW Validation Region



## 22SS/32 Channel: SR pre-fit





#### Results from CMS - 22SS/32 Channel

$\overline{N_\ell}$	N <sub>b</sub>	N <sub>jets</sub>	Region
		$\leq 5$	CRW
		6	SR1
		7	SR2
		$\geq 8$	SR3
2		5	SR4
	2	6	SR5
	5	7	SR6
		$\geq 8$	SR7
	$\geq 4$	$\geq 5$	SR8
		5	SR9
	2	6	SR10
> 2		$\geq 7$	SR11
$\geq 3$	$\geq 3$	4	SR12
		5	SR13
		$\geq 6$	SR14
Inverted resonance veto			CRZ

# 11/110S Channel back-up



## 1l/1lOS Channel: tt+bb backgrond

#### $t\bar{t}$ + $b\bar{b}$ measurements from CMS



Figure 3: Comparison of the measured  $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$  production cross sections (vertical lines) with predictions from several Monte Carlo generators (squares), for three definitions of our  $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$  regions of phase space: fiducial parton-independent (left), fiducial parton-based (middle), total (right). The dark (light) shaded bands show the statistical (total) uncertainties in the measured value. Uncertainty intervals in the theoretical cross sections include the statistical uncertainty as well as the uncertainties in the PDFs and the  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_F$  scales.

## 11/110S Channel: Analysis Regions



# 11/110S Channel: Analysis Regions



# 11/110S Channel: Effect of the re-weighting







# 11/110S Channel: Ranking of systematics



#### **BSM 4tops Search back-up**

## **Benchmark Signal Model**

- Consider a color singlet vector particle (Z'), with mass  $\gg m_{top}$ , leading to a narrow resonance: <u>Probing TeV scale Top-Philic Resonances with Boosted Top-Tagging at the High Luminosity LHC</u>
- Using a model independent approach and focus on a two body decay of Z' into  $t\bar{t}$  with  $M_{Z'}$  in the TeV range
- Can produce top-philic resonances at tree-level and one-loop
- We focus on tree-level production such as:  $t\bar{t} + Z'$ , tW + Z' and tj + Z' with  $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$





# **Benchmark Signal Model**

- The largest contribution at the LHC comes from the four top-quark final state
  - tj + Z' production is smaller than  $t\overline{t} + Z'$  roughly by a factor of 2 while tW + Z' production is smaller by a factor 4





# **BumpHunter Results**



94

## **BumpHunter Results**





#### Uncertanties

Uncertainty categories	Relative contribution to the total uncertainty [%]		
	1.5 TeV	3 TeV	
$t\bar{t}$ +jets modeling	68	50	
Signal bias	45	25	
Functional fit and extrapolation	34	33	
Jet energy scale and resolution	29	18	
Single-top-quark modeling	9.4	7.7	
Flavor tagging	8.7	3.6	
Minor backgrounds modeling	5.1	5.6	
Other uncertainties	0.4	2.0	
Luminosity	0.3	0.1	
Total systematic uncertainty	92	74	
Statistical uncertainty	39	67	