





Fundamental Neutrino Physics with IceCube and PINGU

Doug Cowen

University of Manchester and Penn State with support from the Leverhulme Trust

G E N 2 PINGU









Fundamental Neutrino Physics with IceCube and PINGU

Doug Cowen

University of Manchester and Penn State with support from the Leverhulme Trust



Introduction

- Neutrino Oscillations
- The Detectors:
 - IceCube & DeepCore (taking data)
 - PINGU (proposed as part of IceCube Gen2)
- The Source:
 - Atmospheric neutrinos
- The Signature:
 - Interactions in ice
 - Oscillations

Reasons to Care About Neutrinos

- "Brian Cox" reasons:
 - Ubiquity:
 - $10^{11} \nu/s/cm^2 \& \sim 300$ in every cm^3 of space
 - Critical for life
 - Fusion in stars requires emission of ν 's



- "Tiniest" or most "anti-social" of all fundamental particle(s)
 - Solar neutrinos can pass unscathed through light-year-long column of lead
 - $\sim 10^{24}$ neutrinos will pass through your body in your lifetime; only ~ 1 will deign to touch you
- Other good reasons:
 - Least understood fundamental particles in the Standard Model
 - Studying neutrino properties could yield hints for new physics
 - Their detection poses an irresistible experimental challenge

Neutrino Oscillations

- General 3-flavor mixing described by Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix
 - analogous to CKM matrix for quarks, but with larger off-diagonal elements
- Different L/E regimes require different sources and detectors

Neutrino Oscillations

- General 3-flavor mixing described by Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix
 - analogous to CKM matrix for quarks, but with larger off-diagonal elements
- Different L/E regimes require different sources and detectors

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij} \\ c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(\begin{bmatrix} s_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(\begin{bmatrix} s_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(\begin{bmatrix} s_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Detectors have a wide range of sizes
 - For higher E(v), events are rarer but brighter
 - Leads to construction of bigger but more sparsely instrumented detectors





IceCube & DeepCore



Digital Optical Module (DOM)







- IceCube DeepCore
 - More densely instrumented region at bottom centre of IceCube
 - Below 2100m, clearest ice
 - $\lambda_{att} \sim 50m$
 - radiopure
 - IceCube provides active downward-going muon veto



Reconstructions & Resolutions

 From the Cherenkov
 light pattern
 we can
 reconstruct
 each event's

- direction,
- energy, and
- ~flavor



Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Production mechanism
- •Wide variety of energies and baselines
- Lots of possible oscillation signatures



Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Production mechanism
- •Wide variety of energies and baselines
- Lots of possible oscillation signatures



Atmospheric Neutrino Flux

Atmospheric v Energy Spectrum



IceCube and PINGU will each see tens of thousands of v/yr

Atmospheric Neutrinos

- Production
 mechanism
- •Wide variety of energies and baselines
- Lots of possible oscillation signatures



- Simulated ν_{μ} CC event, E_{ν} = 9.3 GeV
 - 4.4 GeV initial cascade, 4.9 GeV muon
- Physics hits only (no noise)



- Simulated ν_{μ} CC event, E_{ν} = 9.3 GeV
 - 4.4 GeV initial cascade, 4.9 GeV muon
- Physics hits only (no noise)





- Using just v-induced Cherenkov light, IceCube and PINGU can separate tracks from showers
 - tracks: ν_{μ} CC interactions with sufficiently energetic muon
 - showers: all other ν interactions
- Provides sensitivity to
 - ν_{μ} disappearance
 - v_{τ} appearance
 - the neutrino mass hierarchy



Atmospheric Neutrino Oscillations

- Atmospheric neutrinos are observed over wide range of energies & pathlengths
 - oscillations produce distinctive pattern in (E_ν,cosθ) space
 - can combat systematics using events in "side band" regions where oscillations do not occur
- For reference:
 - at L = d_E, P($\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$) = 0 at E_{ν} ~ 25 GeV
 - see MSW and parametric oscillations below $E_\nu \sim 20~GeV$



- IceCube has done three analyses so far (two published, third on the way)
 - PRL 111, 081801 (2013)
 - PRD 91, 072004 (2015)
- Differences mainly in sophistication of event reconstruction
- Focus here on the second published analysis and the third analysis in progress

Analysis steps

- Reject downward going cosmic ray muon background
 - initially, $\downarrow \mu$ outnumber $\nu_{\mu}(CC)$ by $10^5:1$
 - \bullet use IceCube and outer layers of DeepCore to veto $\downarrow\mu$
 - Achieve 1:1 with 40% signal retention
- Require minimum number of "direct" (~unscattered) photons in each event to ensure good reconstruction

- Analysis steps (continued)
 - Fit each event assuming a point-like or track-like hypothesis



- Keep only track-like events
 - selection criteria keep only those ν_{μ} CC events with L_{μ} > ~20m
- Estimate event energy from shower at vertex and L_{μ}
 - Energy of remaining neutrinos from simulation:



- Fit for θ_{23} and $\Delta(m_{32})^2$ parameters
- Systematics
 - Φ_{atm} normalization, spectral index, ν_e/ν_μ ratio
 - cross section uncertainties (very modest effect)
 - detector uncertainties: DOM efficiency (impacts mass splitting) and ice properties (impact mixing angle)
 - These are the biggest systematic uncertainties
 - θ_{13} treated as nuisance parameter, other oscillation parameters fixed to world averages
- Results
 - Using 953 days of detector livetime, observed 5174 events
 - no oscillation expectation: 6830
 - overall signal efficiency, relative to initial sample of contained events, is $\sim 3\%$
 - σ_{stat} , σ_{syst} comparable in magnitude; ~80% DIS; ~5% $\downarrow \mu$;





Precision comparable to world's best measurements! Uses highest energy v_{atm} sample ever.

Underway: Third ν_{μ} Disappearance Analysis

- Employs improved reconstruction
 - better resolutions on angle, energy
 - ~7x better signal efficiency (~20%)



Near Future: v_{τ} Appearance



In the standard oscillation scenario, v_{τ} normalization = 1

Example: for a v_{τ} norm = 1.0, that which is expected from standard oscillations, DeepCore can exclude the no- v_{τ} hypothesis (norm = 0.0) at the level of 4-6.5 σ in 90% of the cases.

PINGU

The Precision IceCube Next Generation Upgrade can do everything DeepCore can do, only better.

And it can do things IceCube/DeepCore cannot.

Terminology: PINGU is part of IceCube-Gen2, a proposed IceCube upgrade including an enlarged high energy in-ice array and more expansive surface veto, and possibly a radio array.

PINGU Physics Goals

- Neutrino oscillations
 - Neutrino mass hierarchy
 - Muon neutrino disappearance
 - Tau neutrino appearance
- WIMP dark matter
- Earth tomography
- Supernovae
- Low E_{ν} point sources,...

PINGU Physics Goals

- Neutrino oscillations
 - Neutrino mass hierarchy
 - Muon neutrino disappearance
 - Tau neutrino appearance

Highly competitive with accelerator & reactor experiments.

- WIMP dark matter) Reaches very low $m(\chi)$.
- Earth tomography Unique measurement.
- Supernovae New sensitivity to E(v).
- Low E_{ν} point sources,...

The Neutrino Mass Hierarchy

One of the few remaining unmeasured fundamental parameters in particle physics





...PINGU can exploit matter effects at $E_{\nu} \sim 5-15$ GeV to distinguish

Semi-Useful Factoid: The total mass in neutrinos in the universe differs in these two cases by about the mass of our galaxy: $M_{\nu,tot}(IH) - M_{\nu,tot}(NH) \sim M(MilkyWay)$

The NMH Signature in PINGU



The NMH Signature in PINGU



The NMH Signature in PINGU

- Our MC-based analysis is mature. Many challenges have been overcome:
 - Fully simulated event selection & reconstruction
 - Reconstruction required new IceCube approach for contained events
 - Reconstruction also required new optimizer and lots of CPU
 - Particle ID (PID)
 - Required new IceCube approach for low E_ν events
 - Long list of systematic errors (more details later)
 - Required new interfaces with code e.g. GENIE, exhaustive exploration of flux and cross section parameters, non-trivial adaptation of systematics space optimizer, large simulated datasets,...
 - Multiple statistical approaches in good agreement
 - Development of several new IceCube techniques and codes, adaptation of external (SK) code to run on GPUs,...

Visualizing the NMH Signature

- Expect $\sim 50 \text{k} \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$, $\sim 40 \text{k} \nu_{e} + \overline{\nu}_{e}/\text{yr}$: Largest ever sample in this energy range
- ~25% energy resolution, ~15° directional resolution
- PID with 90% purity for tracks above ~10GeV
- Plots of (NH–IH) show distinctive patterns in (E, cosθ) space:



- Results from log-likelihood ratio (LLR) and faster χ²-pull approaches agree well
- Large list of systematics incorporated from
 - Oscillation parameter uncertainties
 - Flux and interaction uncertainties
 - Detector uncertainties

PINGU Systematic Errors

Systematic Parameters

- Oscillation parameters (from <u>nu-fit.org</u> [1]):
 - + Δm_{31}^2 (NH/IH) = 0.00246 / -0.00237 eV [2] (no prior)
 - + θ₂₃ (NH/IH) = 42.3° / 49.5° (no prior)
 - $\theta_{13} = 8.5^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$
- Detector/flux/cross sections:
 - + event rate (effective area, flux normalization) = nominal (no prior)
 - **energy scale** = nominal ± 0.10 (from current calibration data)
 - + v_e/v_μ ratio = nominal ± 0.03 (ref [2])
 - * v/anti-v ratio = nominal ± 0.10 (ref [2] and [3])
 - + atmospheric spectral index: nominal ± 0.05 (ref [2])
 - + Also studied separately:
 - detailed cross section systematics based on GENIE [3] parameters
 - detailed atmospheric flux uncertainties from [2]

[1] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, et al. JHEP 11 052, 2014	[3] C.Andreopoulos et al., Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A 614:87-104 (2010)

[2] G.D. Barr, T.K. Gaisser, et. al. Phys. Rev. D 74 094009, (2006)

Timothy C. Arlen

WIN 2015, 12 June 2015

Systematics Impacts (4yr significances) NH Syst. IH None 5.4σ 5.5σ Osc. 3.4σ 2.9σ only Flux 4.3σ 4.6σ only Det. 4.4σ 4.6σ only 3.1σ All 2.9σ

15

Predict 3σ significance in 3.5-4yrs of live time (@NuFit 2014 values).

(Shorter if include data from ~10 yrs DeepCore + partially deployed PINGU.)





Predict 3σ significance in 3.5-4yrs of live time (@NuFit 2014 values).

(Shorter if include data from ~10 yrs DeepCore + partially deployed PINGU.)



NuFit 2014 values: ~most conservative!



Predict 3σ significance in 3.5-4yrs of live time (@NuFit 2014 values).

(Shorter if include data from ~10 yrs DeepCore + partially deployed PINGU.)



NuFit 2014 values: ~most conservative!



We are currently doing a "dry run" NMH analysis with DeepCore. Expect $\sim 1\sigma$ significance.

D. Cowen/Manchester & Penn State

Global Context



PINGU vatm Oscillation Physics

World-class measurements of atmospheric $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ mixing

parameters via v_{μ} disappearance and v_{τ} appearance







DM, Tomo., SNe

- Solar WIMP dark matter searches would be competitive with Super-K down to 5GeV
- Earth tomography
 - Requires many MT·yrs of data, but unique capability
- Supernova detection would benefit from closer DOM spacing
 - gain measurement of energy spectrum



UK Involvement in Gen2

- Oxford University
 - Subir Sarkar
 - Full membership
 - Theoretical aspects of high energy neutrino interactions
- University of Manchester
 - Justin Evans, Stefan Soldner-Rembold, Steven Wren (grad. student)
 - Associate membership
 - Analyze DeepCore data for NMH
 - Contribute to aspects of Gen2 DAQ firmware development
 - Co-chair PINGU analysis working group
- Queen Mary University London
 - Teppei Katori, Shivesh Mandalia (grad. student)
 - Associate membership
 - Differential cross section analysis
 - PINGU software, Gen2 PMT and DOM noise studies and modeling

The IceCube-PINGU Collaboration

University of Alberta-Edmonton (Canada) University of Toronto (Canada)

Clark Atlanta University (USA) Drexel University (USA) Georgia Institute of Technology (USA) Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (USA) Massachusetts Institute of Technology (USA) Michigan State University (USA) **Ohio State University (USA)** Pennsylvania State University (USA) South Dakota School of Mines & Technology (USA) Southern University and A&M College (USA) Stony Brook University (USA) University of Alabama (USA) University of Alaska Anchorage (USA) University of California, Berkeley (USA) University of California, Irvine (USA) University of Delaware (USA) University of Kansas (USA) University of Maryland (USA) University of Wisconsin-Madison (USA) University of Wisconsin-River Falls (USA) Yale University (USA)

Stockholms universitet (Sweden) Uppsala universitet (Sweden)

Niels Bohr Institutet (Denmark) —

Queen Mary University of London (UK) — University of Oxford (UK) University of Manchester (UK)

> Université de Genève (Switzerland)

> > Université libre de Bruxelles (Belgium) Université de Mons (Belgium) Universiteit Gent (Belgium) Vrije Universiteit Brussel (Belgium)

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (Germany) Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (Germany) Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (Germany) Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Germany) Ruhr-Universität Bochum (Germany) RWTH Aachen (Germany) Technische Universität München (Germany) Technische Universität Dortmund (Germany)

Technische Universität Dortmund (Gern Universität Mainz (Germany) Universität Wuppertal (Germany)

Sungkyunkwan University (South Korea)

> Chiba University (Japan) University of Tokyo (Japan)

University of Adelaide (Australia)

12 Countries45 Institutions260 Scientists

University of Canterbury

(New Zealand)

International Funding Agencies

Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS) Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek–Vlaanderen (FWO–Vlaanderen) Federal Ministry of Education & Research (BMBF) German Research Foundation (DFG) Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY) Inoue Foundation for Science, Japan Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation NSF-Office of Polar Programs NSF-Physics Division Swedish Polar Research Secretariat The Swedish Research Council (VR) University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF) US National Science Foundation (NSF)

Conclusions

- DeepCore has produced neutrino oscillation results that are highly competitive on the world stage
 - Even better results are in the pipeline
- The PINGU physics case is compelling
 - The neutrino mass hierarchy is a fundamental parameter
 - The PINGU NMH significance has been very robust
 - Capable of numerous other high-profile, very competitive measurements
- Community interest in PINGU is strong and growing
 - Endorsed by high-profile "P5" panel in the US
 - PINGU LoI(v1) has 65 total citations (19 in refereed journals)
 - LoI(v2) in the works
 - So far this year there have been PINGU talks at ~10 conferences
- If you're interested in joining Gen2, let me know!