<u>Deep-Inelastic</u> <u>Scattering at the</u> <u>TeV Energy Scale</u> <u>and the LHeC</u>

P Newman, Birmingham

Antwerpen Meeting on Diffraction and Forward Physics at HERA and the LHC

26 October 2007



hep-ex/0603016 JINST 1 (2006) P10001. Recent info (eg fromDIS07) at http://epweb2.ph.bham.ac.uk/user/newman/lhec/lhec.html

Contents

- \cdot DIS at the end of HERA
- Partons, discoveries and the LHC
- The Case for TeV Scale DIS
- LHeC Design Possibilities
- LHeC First Detector Considerations
- Some first Physics case studies (emphasis on low x)
- Timelines and Organisation

Caveat: LHeC is in its infancy! - So far, this is just a sketch - Many many gaps!



P (920 GeV) HERA H1 ZEUS e (27.5 GeV) PETRA Status: 1-July-2007 400 electrons positrons •... "the world's 300 HERA-2 most powerful 200

•... "the world's most powerful microscope" using virtual boson to resolve p structure



Sensitivity to Partons



Cross section dominated by γ^* exchange (measures e_q^2 weighted quark distribution). W, Z exchange at high Q² sensitive to flavour decomposition

The Birth of Experimental Low x Physics



• Biggest HERA discovery: strong increase of quark density (F_2) and gluon density $(d F_2 / d \ln Q^2)$ with decreasing x in newly explored regime.

• Low x, `large' Q^2 is high density, low coupling limit of QCD ...

What *is* a Proton?



• DGLAP fits to NC and CC data, up to order α_s^2 in QCD used to obtain valence, sea quarks and gluon.

• Can be done using H1 data alone.

• `Global' fits by MRST, CTEQ also use input from Pp and elsewhere.

- How is enormous gluon density at low x tamed?
- Can we trust the (NLO DGLAP) theory at all x?
- Limited precision at high x (see later)

Beyond Inclusive Measurements



Precision QCD tests → pdf info, gluon sensitivity

 Understanding often limited by theory (scale)
 uncertainties, largely due to relatively low p_t region accessed.
 `Forward jets', diffraction → direct tests of assumed parton evolution patterns, novel or poorly understood QCD effects

 Understanding limited by forward instrumentation

Beyond Inclusive Measurements



- Additional studies ... 3g, 4g vertices, instantons?
- Forward Jets, Diffraction
 - Direct tests of assumed parton evolution patterns
 - Novel / poorly understood QCD effects (diffraction ...)
 - ? Understanding limited by (forward) detectors ...

How well could we know the Partons at HERA?



700 pb-1 H1 + ZEUS combined

Only statistical improvements considered

... high x LHC discovery region (esp. gluon) still not well known

(Gwenlan et al., HERA-LHC Workshop)

The LHC ... where all good HEP talks go ...

LHC tunnel (50-100m underground)

The LHC

ATLAS site

Proton-proton collisions at ultra-high energy

(E_{cms} = 14 TeV) o uminosity (10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹)

CERN main site









Partons Limiting Searches for New Physics

Some BSM models give deviations in high mass dijet spectra, even reducing them!... e.g. a model of extra dimensions ...



... in this example, high x PDF uncertainties reduce sensitivity to compactification scales from 6 to 2 TeV for 2 new dim's

Some Physics Motivation for TeV Scale DIS

-New Physics in the eq Sector leptoquarks, RP violating SUSY, quark compositeness

-The Low x Limit of Quantum Chromodynamics high parton densities with low coupling parton saturation, new evolution dynamics

-Quark-Gluon Dynamics and the Origin of Mass confinement and diffraction

-Precision Proton Structure for the LHC essential to know the initial state precisely! including heavy flavour (b), gluon

-Nuclear Parton Densities eA with AA -> partons in nuclei, Quark Gluon Plasma

LHeC Inclusive Kinematics



Unprecedented ep lumi > 10³³ cm⁻² s⁻¹ !
eA mode possible using LHC ion beam

- $E_e = 70 \text{ GeV}$ $E_p = 7 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 1.4 \text{ TeV}$
- Extension of high Mass (Q2) frontier

• Extension in Q² lever-arm in x range Covered by HERA

• Extension of low x (high W) frontier

 $W \le 1.4 \text{ TeV}$ $x \ge 5.10^{-7} \text{ at}$ $Q^2 \le 1 \text{ GeV}^2$



A Possible Design

- On timescale of LHC upgrades?...
- ep in parallel with standard pp operation
- Proton beam parameters fixed by LHC
- 70 GeV electron beam, compromising between energy and synchrotron (0.7 GeV loss per turn)
- Superconducting RF cavities then consume 50MW for Ie=70mA
- Electron beam by-passes existing experiments via existing survey tunnels





• Matching electron and proton beam shapes and sizes determines β^* x emittance for electron beam

- High luminosity requires low β quadrupoles close to interaction point (1.2 m)
- Fast separation of beams with tolerable synchrotron power requires finite crossing angle
- \bullet 2 mrad angle gives 8σ separation at first parasitic crossing
- Resulting loss of luminosity (factor 3.5)
 Distance from IP in me partially compensated by "crab cavities" ... -> 10³³ cm⁻² s⁻¹

Interaction Region



Another Possible Design?

 Recent investigation (Chatopadhay & Zimmermann) of linac solution to electron beam, using CW cavities.

Full details eagerly anticipated

• Similar in spirit to previous ideas (QCD-explorer, THERA), but luminosity of a few x 10³³ achievable?

Less interference with existing LHC set-up

Direct relation to linear collider R&D



Some First Detector Considerations



Considerably more asymmetric beam energies than HERA!

 Hadronic final state at newly accessed lowest x values goes central or backward in the detector ©
 At x values typical of HERA (but larger Q²), hadronic final state is boosted more in the forward direction.

• Full study of low x / Q^2 and of range overlapping with HERA, with sensitivity to energy flow in outgoing proton direction requires forward acceptance for hadrons to 1°

Systematic Precision etc

Possible requirements based on how to reach per-mil α_s value

The new collider ...

- should be 100 times more luminous than HERA The new detector

- should be at least 2 times better than H1 / ZEUS

Redundant determination of kinematics from e and X is a huge help in calibration etc!

Lumi = $10^{33} - 10^{34}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹ Acceptance 1-179° Tracking to 0.1 mrad EM Calorimetry to 0.1% Had calorimtry to 0.5% Luminosity to 0.5%

(HERA 1-5 x 10^{31} cm⁻² s⁻¹) (HERA 7-177°) (HERA 0.2 – 1 mrad) (HERA 0.2-0.5%) (HERA 1%) (HERA 1%)

The LHeC for High Q² Investigations

2 modes considered:

1) Focusing magnet to optimise lumi ... detector acceptance restricted to $\theta < 170^{\circ}$... Little acceptance below Q²=100 GeV²

2) No focusing ... lower lumi, but acceptance to $179^{\circ} \rightarrow$ (see later) LHeC - High Q² Kinematics



... 2 versions of detector to use at different times? ... low x studies at 10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹, high Q² at 10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹

Lepton-quark Bound States

- Leptoquarks appear in many extensions to SM... explain apparent symmetry between lepton and quark sectors.
- Scalar or Vector color triplet bosons carrying
 L, B and fractional Q, complex spectroscopy
 likely!
- (Mostly) pair produced in pp, single production in ep.
- LHC sensitivity (to ~1.5 TeV) extends beyond LHeC, but difficult to determine quantum numbers / spectroscopy!







Yukawa coupling, λ

Leptoquark Properties at LHeC

LHC: - Hard to determine quantum numbers from pair production. - Single production cross sections tiny.



LHeC: - Resonant production at high x implies q rather than qbar. Sign of e⁺p / e⁻p asymmetry thus determines fermion number F - Disentangle scalar / vector from angular distributions. - Disentangle chiral couplings by varying beam polarisation





Inclusive Cross Sections





• LHeC is a genuine electroweak collider ... exploit helicity and W exchange for quark flavour and q / qbar decomposition.

• It provides high NC and CC rates up to large values of x, for e.g. d/u determination

Example Proton Structure Constraint: xF₃



Improved precision and extension to lower x at LHeC

Tests symmetry of q and qbar in sea and / or measures valence density at very low x

$$xF_3^{\gamma Z} \sim a_u e_u (u - \overline{u}) + a_d e_d (d - \overline{d})$$

Valence quark density extracted from e⁺p / e⁻p NC cross section asymmetry ... (also from W⁺ v W⁻ at LHC)



LHeC Impact on High x Partons and α_s



uncertainty on g(x) $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 0.8 CTEQ 61 0.6 LHeC simulation, 10 fb⁻¹ 0.4 0.2 ZEUS 2002 / CTEQ 61 ST 2004 / CTEO 61 0 10 -5 10 -3 10 -2 10 -1 10 х

... crucial to LHC discovery & interpretation of new states?

Projected LHeC α_s precision few/mil (c.f. 1-2% now) ... full NC/CC sim (with systs) + NLO fit



Heavy Quarks: $HERA \rightarrow LHC$

 HERA HF information limited by kinematic range and luminosity. (reasonable charm, pioneering beauty, almost no strange)

 Crucial for understanding LHC initial state for new processes (e.g. bbbar->H) and backgrounds.

• LHC predictions rely strongly on extrapolations and pQCD (e.g. CTEQ6: 7% effect on W,Z rates by varying HF treatment).









- LHeC 10° acceptance
- O LHEC 1º acceptance

Assumes ...

- 50% b, 10% charm efficiency
- 1% light \rightarrow c mistag prob.
- 10% c \rightarrow b mistag prob.
- 1 fb⁻¹

Strange quark distribution

• s and sbar from charm in charged current (Ws \rightarrow c)





LHeC 10° acceptance
 LHEC 1° acceptance

Assumes ... - 10% c efficiency - 1% uds → c mistag

- 1 fb⁻¹

The LHeC for Low x Investigations

Second detector mode: LHeC

LHeC - Low x Kinematics

×

v 99 10² No focusing INCREDIBLE E. = 28Ge magnet for LOW x E_P=7000 GeV optimised lumi 🔭 MACHINE! E_=70 GeV E,=63GeV Acceptance to HERA $179^{\circ} \rightarrow access$ 10 to Q²=1 GeV2 for all $x > 5 \times 10^{-7}$! Lumi ~ 1 fb⁻¹ / yr $\Theta = 170$ Definitive low x $\Theta = 179.5^{\circ}$ facility (e.g. parton - Treet saturation 10 answers) 10^{-6}

An Example Dipole Approach to HERA Data

Forshaw, Sandapen, Shaw hep-ph/0411337,0608161 ... used for illustrations here

Fit inclusive HERA data with dipole models containing varying assumptions for σ_{dipole} .



FS04 Regge (~FKS): 2 pomeron model, no saturation FS04 Satn: Simple implementation of saturation CGC: Colour Glass Condensate version of saturation

- All three models can describe data with $Q^2 > 1GeV^2$, x < 0.01
- Only versions with saturation work for 0.045 < Q^2 < 1 GeV²
- Similar conclusions from final state studies

... partonic interpretation (e.g. $gg \rightarrow g$) not possible \otimes

Example low $x F_2$ with LHeC Data

Statistical precision < 0.1%, systs 1-3%



Precise data in LHeC region, x>~10⁻⁶ (detector \rightarrow 1°)

- FSO4, CGC models incorporating saturation suppressed at low x, Q^2 ... possible to understand in terms of partons?

- Low Q² (θ > 170°) region essential!

Ongoing work on how effect may
 be established unambiguously)





DVCS Measurement

... can be tackled as at HERA through inclusive selection of ep \rightarrow ep γ and statistical subtraction of Bethe-Heitler background





Cleaner interpretation in terms of GPDs at larger Q² values of LHeC

Example of DVCS at LHeC



(1° acceptance)

Statistical precision with 1fb⁻¹ ~ 2-11%

With F2, FL, could help establish saturation and distinguish between different models which contain it!

VMs similar story

W / GeV

Diffractive DIS at HERA

`Discovery' at HERA (~10% of low x events are of type ep -> eXp)

 Parton-level mechanism, relations to diffractive pp scattering, inclusive DIS, confinement still not settled.

• QCD Factorisation: Diffractive parton densities (DPDFs) universal to diffractive DIS (apply to both HERA and LHeC)

... can also be used to predict pp with additional `gap survival' factors



LHeC Diffractive Kinematics

- Tests of factorisation and evolution dynamics: DPDFs extracted at HERA predict LHeC cross section at moderate /large β , higher Q² using DGLAP.
- \bullet New dynamics: LHeC opens new low β region parton saturation, BFKL etc showing up first in diffraction?
- •Large Diff. Masses: Z, W, b production, studies of new 1-- states





Final States in Diffraction

- Factorisation tests done at HERA with gluon initiated jet / charm processes... BUT ...
- Kinematically restricted to high β region where F_2^{D} is least sensitive to the gluon!
- Kinematically restricted to low $p_T < M_x/2$ where scale uncertainties are large.
- γp surprises \rightarrow understanding gap survival?... Diff H @ LHC?





Final States in Diffraction at the LHeC

• At LHeC, diffractive masses M_x up to hundreds of GeV can be produced with low $x_{\rm IP}$

- Low β , low x_{IP} region for jets and charm accessible
- Final state jets etc at higher pt
 ... much more precise factorisation
 tests and DPDF studies (scale uncty)
- New diffractive channels ...
 beauty, W / Z bosons
- Unfold quantum numbers / precisely measure exclusively produced new / exotic 1⁻ states



Diffractive Detector Considerations

- Accessing $x_{IP} = 0.01$ with rapidity gap method requires η_{max} cut around 5 ...forward instrumentation essential!
- Roman pots, FNC should clearly be an integral part
- Not new at LHC! Roman pots already integrated into CDF, Atlas via Totem, FP420, FP220)





Long HERA program Fo to understand parton cascade emissions by direct observation of jet pattern in the forward direction. ... DGLAP v BFKL v CCFM v resolved γ^* ...

Conclusions limited by kinematic restriction to high x (>~ 2.10^{-3}) and detector acceptance.

At LHeC ... more emissions due to longer ladder & more instrumentation \rightarrow measure at lower x where predictions really diverge.



With AA at LHC, LHeC is also an eA Collider



• With wide range of x, Q^2 , A, opportunity to extract and understand nuclear parton densities in detail

• e.g. enhanced sensitivity to low x gluon saturation

• Symbiosis with ALICE, RHIC ... disentangling quark gluon plasma from shadowing or parton saturation effects

Organisation and Possible Timeline

Scientific Advisory Committee (A. Caldwell, J. Dainton, J. Feltesse, A.Skrinsky R. Horisberger, R. Milner, A. Levy, G. Altarelli, S. Brodsky, J. Ellis, L. Lipatov, F. Wilczek, S. Chattopadhyay, R. Garoby, S. Myers, F. Willeke, J. Engelen, R. Heuer, YK. Kim, P. Bond,)

Steering Group (O. Bruning, S. Forte, M. Klein, P. Newman, E. Perez, D. Pitzl, W. Smith, B. Surrow, K. Tokushuku, U. Wiedemann) ... final list to be confirmed ... meeting today to plan workshop!

Nov 2007:	Presentation to plenary ECFA
2008:	First workshop
2009:	Second workshop → Conceptual Design Report
2011:	Technical Design Report?

Much more to be done to fully evaluate physics potential, determine optimum running scenario and design detector

... your involvement very welcome (in parallel with HERA, LHC)!

Summary

LHC is a totally new world of energy and luminosity! LHeC proposal aims to exploit this for lepton-hadron scattering

New discoveries expected at LHC ... interpretation may require ep, eA in comparable energy range

LHeC naturally extends low x and high Q^2 frontiers of epphysics ... new precision in our understanding of QCD

First conceptual design exists ... no show-stopper so far some encouraging first physics studies / idle speculations

... time for a workshop!

[Thanks in particular to J Dainton, L Favart, J Forshaw, M Klein, A Mehta, E Perez, F Willeke]

Overview of LHeC Parameters

 Table 3: Main Parameters of the Lepton-Proton Collider

Property	Unit	Leptons	Protons
Beam Energies	GeV	70	7000
Total Beam Current	mA	74	544
Number of Particles / bunch	10^{10}	1.04	17.0
Horizontal Beam Emittance	nm	7.6	0.501
Vertical Beam Emittance	nm	3.8	0.501
Horizontal β -functions at IP	cm	12.7	180
Vertical β -function at the IP	cm	7.1	50
Energy loss per turn	${\rm GeV}$	0.707	$6 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Radiated Energy	MW	50	0.003
Bunch frequency / bunch spacing	MHz / ns	40 / 25	
Center of Mass Energy	${ m GeV}$	1400	
Luminosity	$10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	1.1	

Geometric Scaling at the LHeC



Current Status of Low × Physics

RHIC, Tevatron and HERA have taught us a lot, ... but many questions are not fully answered...

• Are non-DGLAP parton evolution dynamics visible in the initial state parton cascade?

- How and where is the parton growth with decreasing x tamed (unitarity) ... barely separated from confinement region?
- Large (~ constant?) fraction of diffraction?

Problem is that low x kinematically correlated to low Q^2 , which brings problems with partonic interpretation







Reminder : Dipole models

• Unified description of low x region, including region where Q^2 small and partons not appropriate degrees of freedom ...



- Simple unified picture of many inclusive and exclusive processes ... strong interaction physics in (universal) dipole cross section σ_{dipole} . Process dependence in wavefunction Ψ Factors
- qqbar-g dipoles also needed to describe inclusive diffraction