Future High Energy Electron - Hadron Scattering: The LHeC Project







Paul Newman Birmingham University Jammu, 8 September 2013 Lepton-hadron
collider for the
2020s, based on
the high lumi LHC
Can we add ep and eA
collisions to the existing LHC
pp, AA and pA programme?



<u>Conceptual Design</u> <u>Report (July 2012)</u>

630 pages, summarising 5 year workshop commissioned by CERN, ECFA and NuPECC

~200 participants, 69 institutes

Additional material in subsequent updates:

"A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERN" [arXiv:1211.4831]

"On the Relation of the LHeC and the LHC" [arXiv:1211.5102]

Journal of Physics G

Nuclear and Particle Physics

[arXiv:1206.2913]

Volume 39 Number 7 July 2012 Article 075001

A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERN Report on the Physics and Design Concepts for Machine and Detector LHeC Study Group



2

IOP Publishing

DIS and HERA

Q²: exchanged boson resolving power

x: fractional momentum of struck quark





HERA Proton parton densities in x range well matched to LHC rapidity plateau ... BUT...

- Insufficient lumi for high x
- Lack of Q² lever-arm for low x gluon
- Assumptions on quark flavour decomposition
- No deuterons or heavy ions

Current PDFs & LHC



Current uncertainties due to PDFs for particles on LHC rapidity plateau (NLO):

- Most precise for quark initiated processes around EW scale
- Gluon initiated processes less well known
- All uncertainties explode for largest masses



Beyond HERA: LHeC Context



Baseline[#] Design (Electron "Linac")

Design constraint: power consumption < 100 MW \rightarrow E_e = 60 GeV

- Two 10 GeV linacs,
- 3 returns, 20 MV/m
- Energy recovery in same structures [CERN plans energy recovery prototype]



- ep Lumi 10³³ 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹
- \rightarrow 10 100 fb⁻¹ per year
- → 100 fb⁻¹ 1 ab⁻¹ total
- eD and eA collisions have always been integral to programme
- e-nucleon Lumi estimates ~ 10³¹ (10³²) cm⁻² s⁻¹ for eD (ePb)

Alternative designs based on electron ring and on higher energy, lower
 6 luminosity, linac also exist

Physics Overview



LHeC Detector Acceptance Requirements

Access to $Q^2=1$ GeV² in ep mode for all x > 5 x 10⁻⁷ requires scattered electron acceptance to 179°





Similarly, need 1° acceptance in outgoing proton direction to contain hadrons at high x (essential for good kinematic reconstruction)

Detector Overview



- Forward / backward asymmetry reflecting beam energies
- Present size 14m x 9m (c.f. CMS 21m x 15m, ATLAS 45m x 25m)
- ZDC, proton spectrometer integral to design from outset

Assumed Systematic Precision

In the absence of a detailed simulation set-up, simulated `pseudo-data' produced with reasonable assumptions on systematics (typically 2x better than H1 and ZEUS at HERA).

	LHeC	HERA
Lumi [cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	10 ³³	1-5*10 ³¹
Acceptance [°]	1-179	7-177
Tracking to	0.1 mrad	0.2-1 mrad
EM calorimetry to	0.1%	0.2-0.5%
Hadronic calorimetry	0.5%	1-2%
Luminosity	0.5%	1%

PDF Constraints at LHeC

Full simulation of inclusive NC and CC DIS data, including systematics \rightarrow NLO DGLAP fit using HERA technology...



... impact at low x (kinematic range) and high x (luminosity)

... precise light quark vector, axial couplings, weak mixing angle

... full flavour decomposition



Do we need to Care about High x?



Ancient history (HERA, Tevatron)

- Apparent excess in large E_T jets at Tevatron turned out to be explained by too low high x gluon density in PDF sets

- Confirmation of (non-resonant) new physics near LHC kinematic limit relies on breakdown of factorisation between ep and pp

Searches near LHC kinematic boundary may ultimately be limited by knowledge of PDFs (especially gluon as $x \rightarrow 1$) ¹²

e.g. High Mass 2 Gluino Production

- Signature is excess @ large invariant mass
- Expected SM background (e.g. $gg \rightarrow gg$) poorly known for s-hat > 1 TeV.
- Both signal & background uncertainties driven by error on gluon density ...
 Essentially unknown for masses much beyond 2 TeV

- Similar conclusions for other non-resonant LHC signals involving high x partons (e.g. contact interactions signal in Drell-Yan)



p

р

q

PDF Uncertainties for Higgs Physics



contributions

knowledge of PDFs in HL-LHC era

A Direct Higgs Study

Dominant charged current process has similar cross section to linear e+e- collider

Study of $H \rightarrow$ bbbar in generic simulated LHC detector

	E _e = 150 GeV (10 fb ⁻¹)	E _e = 60 GeV (100 fb ⁻¹)
$H \rightarrow bb$ signal	84.6	248
S/N	1.79	1.05
S∕√N	12.3	16.1

e

... + 90% lepton polarisation enhances signal by factor 1.9

- ... + With 10³⁴ luminosity, x10 more data
- \rightarrow ~5000 events @ Ee = 60 GeV ... H \rightarrow bbbar coupling to ~ 1%.

Direct Sensitivity to New Physics

• The (pp) LHC has much better discovery potential than LHeC (unless E_e increases to >~500 GeV and 10³⁴ lumi achieved)

e.g. Expected quark compositeness limits below 10⁻¹⁹ m at LHeC

... big improvement on HERA, but already beaten by LHC

• LHeC *is* competitive with LHC in cases where initial state lepton is an advantage and offers cleaner final states

Cross Sections and Rates for Heavy Flavours

c.f. luminosity of ~10 fb⁻¹ per year ...

Low-x Physics and Parton Saturation

Somewhere & somehow, the low x growth of cross sections must be tamed to satisfy unitarity ... non-linear effects
... new high density, small coupling parton regime of non-linear parton evolution dynamics (e.g. Colour Glass Condensate)? ...
... gluon dynamics → confinement and hadronic mass generation

LHeC Strategy for making the target blacker

ln 1/x

LHeC delivers a 2-pronged approach:

Enhance target `blackness' by: ep 1) Probing lower x at fixed Q^2 in ep eA [evolution of a single source] DILUTE REGION 2) Increasing target matter in eA [overlapping many sources at fixed kinematics ... density ~ $A^{1/3} \sim 6$ for Pb ... worth 2 orders of magnitude in x]

... Reaching saturated region in both ep & eA according to current models

In A

[fixed Q]

DENSE REGION **Establishing and Characterising Saturation** With 1 fb⁻¹ (1 month at 10^{33} cm⁻² s⁻¹), F₂ stat. < 0.1%, syst, 1-3% F_L measurement to 8% with 1 year of varying E_e or E_p

- LHeC can distinguish between different QCD-based models for the onset of non-linear dynamics
- Unambiguous observation of saturation will be based on tension between different observables e.g. $F_2 v F_L$ in ep or F_2 in ep v eA

Exclusive / Diffractive Channels and Saturation

v*m

р

e

9 3 3

min

V

X (M_x)

р

р

- 1) [Low-Nussinov] interpretation as 2 gluon exchange enhances sensitivity to low x gluon
- 2) Additional variable t gives access to impact parameter (b) dependent amplitudes
 - \rightarrow Large t (small b) probes densest packed part of proton?

e.g. J/ ψ Photoproduction

• Significant non-linear effects expected in LHeC kinematic range.

 Data shown are extrapolations of HERA power law fit for E_e = 150 GeV... → Satⁿ smoking gun?

LHeC as an Electron-ion Collider

Four orders of magnitude increase in kinematic range over previous DIS experiments.

→Revolutionise our view of the partonic structure of nuclear matter.

 \rightarrow Study interactions of densely packed, but weakly coupled, partons

→Ultra-clean probe of passage of `struck' partons through cold nuclear matter

Relevance to the Heavy Ion Programme

Current Status of Nuclear Parton Densities

constrained for $x < 10^{-2}$

Current Low x Understanding in LHC Ion Data

η dependence of pPb charged
particle spectra best described
by shadowing-only models
(saturation models too steep?)
... progress with pPb, but
uncertainties still large, detailed
situation far from clear

Uncertainties in low-x nuclear PDFs preclude precision statements on medium produced in AA (e.g. extent of screening of c-cbar potential)

Minimum Bias pA data

Summary and Outlook

- LHC is new world for p-p physics (even more for heavy ion) physics
- Conceptual Design Report available.
- Timeline?... Optimal impact by running in High Lumi LHC Phase
- Ongoing work ...
 - Physics motivation
 - Detector / simulation
 - Superconducting RF, ERL, machine ...

More at:

- http://cern.ch/lhec
- CDR [arXiv:1206.2913]
- `Dig Deeper', Newman & Stasto, Nature Physics 9 (2013) 448

... with thanks to Nestor Armesto, Max Klein, Anna Stasto and many experimentalist, theorist & accelerator scientist colleagues ...

LHeC study group ...

J.L.Abelleira Fernandez^{16,23}, C.Adolphsen⁵⁷, P.Adzic⁷⁴, A.N.Akay⁰³, H.Aksakal³⁹, J.L.Albacete⁵², B.Allanach⁷³, S.Alekhin^{17,54}, P.Allport²⁴, V.Andreev³⁴, R.B.Appleby^{14,30}, E.Arikan³⁹, N.Armesto^{53,a}, G.Azuelos^{33,64}, M.Bai³⁷, D.Barber^{14,17,24}, J.Bartels¹⁸,
 O.Behnke¹⁷, J.Behr¹⁷, A.S.Belyaev^{15,56}, I.Ben-Zvi³⁷, N.Bernard²⁵, S.Bertolucci¹⁶, S.Bettoni¹⁶, S.Biswal⁴¹, J.Blümlein¹⁷,
 H.Böttcher¹⁷, A.Bogacz³⁶, C.Bracco¹⁶, J.Bracinik⁰⁶, G.Brandt⁴⁴, H.Braun⁶⁵, S.Brodsky^{57,b}, O.Brüning¹⁶, E.Bulyak¹², A.Buniatyan¹⁷, H.Burkhardt¹⁶, I.T.Cakir⁰², O.Cakir⁰¹, R.Calaga¹⁶, A.Caldwell⁷⁰, V.Cetinkaya⁰¹, V.Chekelian⁷⁰, E.Ciapala¹⁶, R.Ciftci⁰¹, A.K.Ciftci⁰¹, B.A.Cole³⁸, J.C.Collins⁴⁸, O.Dadoun⁴², J.Dainton²⁴, A.De.Roeck¹⁶, D.d'Enterria¹⁶, P.DiNezza⁷², M.D'Onofrio²⁴, A.Dudarev¹⁶, A.Eide⁶⁰, R.Enberg⁶³, E.Eroglu⁶², K.J.Eskola²¹, L.Favart⁰⁸, M.Fitterer¹⁶, S.Forte³², A.Gaddi¹⁶, P.Gambino⁵⁹, H.García Morales¹⁶, T.Gehrmann⁶⁹, P.Gladkikh¹², C.Glasman²⁸, A.Glazov¹⁷, R.Godbole³⁵, B.Goddard¹⁶, T.Greenshaw²⁴, A.Guffanti¹³, V.Guzey^{19,36}, C.Gwenlan⁴⁴, T.Han⁵⁰, Y.Hao³⁷, F.Haug¹⁶, W.Herr¹⁶, A.Hervé²⁷, B.J.Holzer¹⁶, M.Ishitsuka⁵⁸ M.Jacquet⁴², B.Jeanneret¹⁶, E.Jensen¹⁶, J.M.Jimenez¹⁶, J.M.Jowett¹⁶, H.Jung¹⁷, H.Karadeniz⁰², D.Kayran³⁷, A.Kilic⁶², K.Kimura⁵⁸, R.Klees⁷⁵, M.Klein²⁴, U.Klein²⁴, T.Kluge²⁴, F.Kocak⁶², M.Korostelev²⁴, A.Kosmicki¹⁶, P.Kostka¹⁷, H.Kowalski¹⁷, M.Kraemer⁷⁵, G.Kramer¹⁸, D.Kuchler¹⁶, M.Kuze⁵⁸, T.Lappi^{21,c}, P.Laycock²⁴, E.Levichev⁴⁰, S.Levonian¹⁷, V.N.Litvinenko³⁷, A.Lombardi¹⁶, J.Maeda⁵⁸, C.Marquet¹⁶, B.Mellado²⁷, K.H.Mess¹⁶, A.Milanese¹⁶, J.G.Milhano⁷⁶, S.Moch¹⁷, I.I.Morozov⁴⁰, Y.Muttoni¹⁶, S.Myers¹⁶, S.Nandi⁵⁵, Z.Nergiz³⁹, P.R.Newman⁰⁶, T.Omori⁶¹, J.Osborne¹⁶, E.Paoloni⁴⁹, Y.Papaphilippou¹⁶, C.Pascaud⁴², H.Paukkunen⁵³, E.Perez¹⁶, T.Pieloni²³, E.Pilicer⁶², B.Pire⁴⁵, R.Placakyte¹⁷, A.Polini⁰⁷, V.Ptitsyn³⁷, Y.Pupkov⁴⁰ V.Radescu¹⁷, S.Raychaudhuri²⁵, L.Rinolfi¹⁶, E.Rizvi⁷¹, R.Rohini²⁵, J.Rojo^{16,31}, S.Russenschuck¹⁶, M.Sahin⁰³, C.A.Salgado^{53,a}, K.Sampei⁵⁸, R.Sassot⁰⁹, E.Sauvan⁰⁴, M.Schaefer⁷⁵, U.Schneekloth¹⁷, T.Schörner-Sadenius¹⁷, D.Schulte¹⁶, A.Senol²², A.Seryi⁴⁴, P.Sievers¹⁶, A.N.Skrinsky⁴⁰, W.Smith²⁷, D.South¹⁷, H.Spiesberger²⁹, A.M.Stasto^{48,d}, M.Strikman⁴⁸, M.Sullivan⁵⁷, S.Sultansoy^{03,e}, Y.P.Sun⁵⁷, B.Surrow¹¹, L.Szymanowski^{66, f}, P.Taels⁰⁵, I.Tapan⁶², T.Tasci²², E.Tassi¹⁰, H.Ten.Kate¹⁶, J.Terron²⁸, H.Thiesen¹⁶, L.Thompson^{14,30}, P.Thompson⁰⁶, K.Tokushuku⁶¹, R.Tomás García¹⁶, D.Tommasini¹⁶, D.Trbojevic³⁷, N.Tsoupas³⁷, J.Tuckmantel¹⁶, S.Turkoz⁰¹, T.N.Trinh⁴⁷, K.Tywoniuk²⁶, G.Unel²⁰, T.Ullrich³⁷, J.Urakawa⁶¹, P.VanMechelen⁰⁵, A.Variola⁵², R.Veness¹⁶, A.Vivoli¹⁶, P.Vobly⁴⁰, J.Wagner⁶⁶, R.Wallny⁶⁸, S.Wallon^{43,46,f}, G.Watt⁶⁹, C.Weiss³⁶, U.A.Wiedemann¹⁶, U.Wienands⁵⁷, F.Willeke³⁷, B.-W.Xiao⁴⁸, V.Yakimenko³⁷, A.F.Zarnecki⁶⁷, Z.Zhang⁴², F.Zimmermann¹⁶, R.Zlebcik⁵¹, F.Zomer⁴²