### LHeC

Large Hadron Electron Collider

70 \* 7000 GeV<sup>2</sup>

Max Klein (klein@ifh.de)

DIS to TeV Energies

ep and eA Physics

Ferdinand Willeke (@desy.de)

Machine Design Study

#### $hep-ex/0306016 \rightarrow JINST$

DESY 06-006 Cockcroft-06-05

#### Deep Inelastic Electron-Nucleon Scattering at the LHC<sup>\*</sup>

J. B. Dainton<sup>1</sup>, M. Klein<sup>2</sup>, P. Newman<sup>3</sup>, E. Perez<sup>4</sup>, F. Willeke<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Cockcroft Institute of Accelerator Science and Technology, Daresbury International Science Park, UK
 <sup>2</sup> DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany
 <sup>3</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, UK
 <sup>4</sup> CE Saclay, DSM/DAPNIA/Spp, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

#### Abstract

The physics, and a design, of a Large Hadron Electron Collider (LHeC) are sketched. With high luminosity,  $10^{33}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, and high energy,  $\sqrt{s} = 1.4$  TeV, such a collider can be built in which a 70 GeV electron (positron) beam in the LHC tunnel is in collision with one of the LHC hadron beams and which operates simultaneously with the LHC. The LHeC makes possible deep-inelastic lepton-hadron (ep, eD and eA) scattering for momentum transfers  $Q^2$  beyond  $10^6$  GeV<sup>2</sup> and for Bjorken x down to the  $10^{-6}$ . New sensitivity to the existence of new states of matter, primarily in the lepton-quark sector and in dense partonic systems, is achieved. The precision possible with an electron-hadron experiment brings in addition crucial accuracy in the determination of hadron structure, as described in Quantum Chromodynamics, and of parton dynamics at the TeV energy scale. The LHeC thus complements the proton-proton and ion programmes, adds substantial new discovery potential to them, and is important for a full understanding of physics in the LHC energy range.

\*Contributed to the Open Symposium on European Strategy for Particle Physics Research, LAL Orsay, France, January 30<sup>th</sup> to February 1<sup>st</sup>, 2006.

## Why of interest



If you bombard protons off each other you better control their structure. If you scatter electrons off positrons you avoid but also miss p's structure. ep is the missing link between pp and ee, it has been for decades:

### Parton interaction developments at the energy frontier\*)

|      | 1970  | 2000  | 2015 |
|------|---|---|------|
| DIS  | Bjorken scaling – QPM, PV<br>neutral currents<br>asymptotic freedom | (high) parton densities<br>diffraction<br>QCD | ?    |
| e⁺e⁻ | J/Ψ<br>gluons .   | 3 neutrinos<br>electroweak theory             | ILC  |
| рр   | charm, W,Z, bottom  | n top LHC                                     |      |

\*) incomplete

The standard model emerged as a result of decades of joint research in  $e^+e^-$ , ep, pp/hh accelerator experiments, including quark and neutrino mixing.

Low x physics related to AA and to high energy neutrino physics.

pp has been most successful in discovering quarks and bosons. There is no quantitative understanding of Tevatron data without HERA.



but we extrapolate over orders of magnitude in  $Q^2$ and the behaviour at low x below HERA is not known

### Particle physics moves to the TeV Scale, how about ep?



$$s = 4E_e E_p$$

$$LHeC : 70 \cdot 7000 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 10^6 GeV^2$$

$$HERA : 27.6 \cdot 920 \rightarrow 10^5 GeV^2$$

$$s = 2M_p E_l$$

$$BCDMS : 280 \rightarrow 500 GeV^2$$

$$SLAC : 20 \rightarrow 40 GeV^2$$

$$Q^2 = sxy$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{sy}$$

$$Bjorken - x \le 1$$

$$inelasticity - y \le 1$$

$$Q^2 \le s$$

### LHeC promises to reach 10<sup>-19</sup> m, i.e 1/10000 (1000) of proton (quark) radius



### DIS at the TeV Scale



## LEP-LHC

A. Verdier LHC Workshop Aachen 90, p.820 E. Keil LHC Project Report 93 (1997)



R. Brinkmann, F. Willeke THERA book and Proceedings Snowmass 2001

D. Schulte, F. Zimmermann CLIC 608



F. Willeke (next talk)

All these ep options are 'cost effective'



cf eg. sW --> c

### $xF_3$ and the sea quark symmetry



### The strong coupling constant



Largest lever arm in x and Q<sup>2</sup> Highest possible accuracy (experiment to < 1% and theory to N<sup>k</sup>LO) Proton and deuteron data (also for low x evolution, sea asymmetry, n structure) Get also much more precise determination of gluon density.





## Heavy Flavour (c,b)



LHeC - High Q<sup>2</sup> Kinematics



Electron-quark resonance formation  $M=\sqrt{(sx)} < 1.3$  TeV LHeC, 0.3 TeV HERA Few TeV electron (ctd-fwd) and final state, (fwd-ctd)- detector challenges Few 100 GeV particles in plateau: both e and final state central in ep detector



### Leptoquarks

| Model               | Fermion  | Charge | $BR(LQ \rightarrow e^{\pm}q)$ |                   |               | Squark                       |
|---------------------|----------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
|                     | number F | Q      | β                             | Coup              | oling         | $_{\rm type}$                |
| $S^L_{\circ}$       | 2        | -1/3   | 1/2                           | $e_L u$           | $\nu d$       | $\tilde{d_R}$                |
| $S^R_\circ$         | 2        | -1/3   | 1                             | $e_R u$           |               |                              |
| $\tilde{S}_{\circ}$ | 2        | -4/3   | 1                             | $e_R d$           |               |                              |
| $S_{1/2}^{L}$       | 0        | -5/3   | 1                             | $e_L \bar{u}$     |               |                              |
|                     |          | -2/3   | 0                             |                   | $\nu \bar{u}$ |                              |
| $S_{1/2}^{R}$       | 0        | -5/3   | 1                             | $e_R \bar{u}$     |               |                              |
| ,                   |          | -2/3   | 1                             | $e_R \bar{d}$     |               |                              |
| $\tilde{S}_{1/2}$   | 0        | -2/3   | 1                             | $e_L \bar{d}$     |               | $\overline{\tilde{u}_L}$     |
|                     |          | +1/3   | 0                             |                   | $\nu \bar{d}$ | $\overline{\widetilde{d}_L}$ |
| $S_1$               | 2        | -4/3   | 1                             | $e_L d$           |               |                              |
|                     |          | -1/3   | 1/2                           | $e_L u$           | $\nu d$       |                              |
|                     |          | +2/3   | 0                             |                   | $\nu u$       |                              |
| $V^L_{\circ}$       | 0        | -2/3   | 1/2                           | $e_L \bar{d}$     | $\nu \bar{u}$ |                              |
| $V^R_{\circ}$       | 0        | -2/3   | 1                             | $e_R \bar{d}$     |               |                              |
| $\tilde{V}_{o}$     | 0        | -5/3   | 1                             | $e_R \bar{u}$     |               |                              |
| $V_{1/2}^{L}$       | 2        | -4/3   | 1                             | $e_L d$           |               |                              |
| ,                   |          | -1/3   | 0                             |                   | $\nu d$       |                              |
| $V_{1/2}^{R}$       | 2        | -4/3   | 1                             | $e_R d$           |               |                              |
| -                   |          | -1/3   | 1                             | $e_R u$           |               |                              |
| $\tilde{V}_{1/2}$   | 2        | -1/3   | 1                             | $e_L u$           |               |                              |
|                     |          | +2/3   | 0                             |                   | $\nu u$       |                              |
| $V_1$               | 0        | -5/3   | 1                             | $e_L \bar{u}_{-}$ |               |                              |
|                     |          | -2/3   | 1/2                           | $e_L d$           | $\nu \bar{u}$ |                              |
|                     |          | +1/3   | 0                             |                   | $\nu d$       |                              |

Buchmueller, Rueckl

### e<sup>±</sup> p ideal for LQ spectroscopy Similar for SUSY - singly produced

#### THE UNCONFINED QUARKS AND GLUONS

#### Abdus Salam

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy and Imperical College, London, England

#### 1. Introduction

Leptons and hadrons share equally three of the basic forces of nature: electromagnetic, weak and gravitational. The only force which is supposed to distinguish between them is strong. Could it be that leptons share with hadrons this force also, and that there is just one form of matter, not two?





cross sections higher in ep and initial state better defined. luminosity lower in ep. asymmetry determines fermion number (polarisation desirable but 'not impossible')







F. Zarnecki (prel)



Dramatic extension of low x kinematic range



Is the rise limited, do densities saturate?

Evolution dynamics (DGLAP, BFKL..) Final state, diffraction

What is the origin and range of the high density phase? (instantons? CGC,..

In p restframe: dipole - p scattering, transverse p dimension scanned with 2/Q

LHeC: basis to understand pp,pA,AA. Important for superhiE neutrino and gamma astrophysics

#### Low x parton radiation:

Needs Phase Space!



 $x_{jet} = E_{jet}/E_{proton} \gg x_{Bj}$  enhances BFKL effect  $E_{T_{ijet}}^{2} \sim Q^{2}$  suppress DGLAP evolution

forward particle production (in p direction) hints to departures from conventional DGLAP, from forward jet and  $\pi^0$  data of ZEUS&H1 resolved photon? Higher orders?

### How are partons (gluons) emitted?

#### kt ordered

<u>DGLAP</u>(Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi)
 DISENT/NLOJET

#### angular ordered

•<u>CCFM</u>(Ciafaloni-Catani-Fiorani-Marchesini) CASCADE

#### x ordered

•<u>BFKL(</u>Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov) ARIADNE (colour dipole. random in kt)

## Forward Jet production to discriminate low x evolution



Figure 1.2.9: Forward-jet cross section as a function of x in different models for  $0.5 < p_t^2/Q^2 < 2$  and a minimum polar jet angle of 1°. The measurements at HERA are limited to  $x \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ .

Figure 1.2.10: Forward-jet cross section as a function of x obtained from CCFM for  $0.5 < p_t^2/Q^2 < 2$ , for different values of the minimum jet angle.

### Hard Diffractive ep Scattering

~10% of NC DIS events have gap between p ar central tracks. Measure gap or detect p with LPS/VFPS



$$\frac{d\sigma_{diff}^{NC}}{dx_{IP}dtd\beta dQ^2} \propto \frac{1}{Q^4} F_2^{D(4)}(x_{IP}, t, \beta, Q^2)$$

Cross section factorises into coefficient functions and diffractive parton distributions

(Trentadue, Veneziano, Berera, Soper, Collins, ...)



First observations by ZEUS and H1 of diffraction in charged current scattering at high Q<sup>2</sup>: 2-3%

Understand nature of diffractive exchangeDoes diffraction affect p PDF's [Martin et al]

- Is diffractive exchange universal, ep pp?
- 2 g exchange  $\rightarrow$  high gluon density unitarity?
- Study an old phenomenon at hard scales!

ep allows detailed, quantitative studies. (inclusive, resolved y, CC, charm, jets..)

### **Diffraction Kinematics**



- DPDFs extracted from HERA data predict the LHeC cross section at moderate – large β, assuming factorisation and DGLAP evolution .....
- Test of these ideas, especially gluon by studying higher  $Q^2$  at similar  $\beta$ .
- LHeC extends to lower β new non-linear dynamics, BFKL etc???

### Final States in Diffraction at HERA

- Factorisation tests done at HERA with gluon initiated jet / charm processes.... Success!...
- Restricted to high  $\beta$  region by kinematic constraints





# Final States in Diffraction at the LHeC

Events per pb<sup>-1</sup> • At LHeC, diffractive masses 10 <sup>4</sup>) M<sub>v</sub> up to hundreds of GeV LHeC can be produced with low  $x_{TP}$ HERA 10 <sup>3</sup> • Low  $\beta$ , low  $x_{TP}$  region for jets and (x<sub>IP</sub><0.05) charm accessible. 10 • New diffractive channels ... ÷ beauty, W / Z bosons 10 Unfold quantum numbers / precisely measure exclusively produced new / exotic 1-- states 1 50 100 150 250 0 200 300 M<sub>x</sub> / GeV

Many further interesting topics to be evaluated .....e.g. VM / DVCS / GPDs ...

### Nuclear Structure

Deconfinement state of partons. Quark-Gluon Plasma The LHeC extends the eA range by 4 orders of magnitude



Limited information on quarks and nearly none on gluons



 $\overline{q}^{Ca}$ 



 $f_i^A(x_N, Q_0^2) = R_i(x_N, Q_0^2, A, Z) f_i(x_N, Q_0^2)$  $f_i^A(x_N, Q_0^2) = \int_{x_N}^A \frac{dy}{y} W_i(y, A, Z) f_i(\frac{x_N}{y}, Q_0^2)$ 

> LHeC provides genuine determination of nuclear quark and gluon distributions based on wide range of data in  $x, Q^2, A$

## Determination of nPDF's





In eA at the collider, test Gribovs relation between shadowing and diffraction, control nuclear effects at low Bjorken x to high accuracy



## High density states



Understanding the possible observation of QGP in AA with eA

### Lepton—Proton Scattering Facilities



The LHeC has the potential to lead DIS to the TeV scale "the undisputable next step" JBD : new physics+QCD as it uses the best hadron beam in the world accompanied by the highest possible luminosity.

To find out what this machine involves:

Widen+substantiate physics considerations. Study closer the relations to the LHC (physics + techn) Design a detector (for hiq2 and for low x). Further study the machine implications and potential.

LHC may tell that even higher energy is necessary (LC \* LHC?)

Aim at workshop this fall, October 26–28 (tentative)..

Proceedings, of the XXIII International Conference, on High Energy Physics.



Berkeley, California 434,

#### **DIS Contributions to ICHEP86**

A HIGH-STATISTICS MEASUREMENT OF THE NUCLEON STRUCTURE FUNCTION  $F_2(x,Q^2)$  FROM DEEP INELASTIC MUON-CARBON SCATTERING AT HIGH  $Q^2$ 

Bologna-CERN-Dubna-Munich-Saclay Collaboration

presented by M. Virchaux DPhPE, CEN Saclay, France

Preliminary Results from a Precision Measurement of the  $x, Q^2$  and Nuclear Dependence of  $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$ 

\* Presented by S.E.Rock, The American University

PHYSICS AT FUTURE HIGH ENERGY COLLIDERS

#### C.H.Llewellyn Smith

Department of Theoretical Physics 1, Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3NP, England.

To summarize: machines ep  $\mathbf{are}$ sometimes regarded as poor sisters of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and pp machines, but we remember should the story of Cinderella: poor sisters may strike rich and Hera  $\mathbf{or}$ subsequent ep machines spectacularly may be successful there 1f are major surprises in the charged current or if lepto-quarks exist.

# Backup Slides

# Title



Figure 9: Ratios of gluon distribution functions in lead (Pb) relative to the gluon distribution in the proton using different models, at  $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ .